Veranstaltung:	Semester:
MA-INF 4243 – Mining Media Data II	SS 26

Ergebnis der Online-Umfrage. Anzahl der Teilnehmenden: 14.

Die Umfrage fand in den Tagen nach der Veranstaltung statt.

1 Demographic data

1.1 Is this your first time taking the module?



1.2 Which subject(s) are you studying?



1.3 Which program-related semester are you in?



1.4 Which of these genders describes you best?



2 Lecture

2.1 How often did you attend the lecture?



2.2 How much did you understand during the lecture?



2.3 How did you perceive the level of difficulty of the topics covered?



2.4 How detailed were the topics explained?



2.5 To what extent were topics illustrated with examples?



2.6 The interactivity in the lecture was...



2.7 Did the lecturer respond to questions satisfactorily and comprehensibly?



2.8 Was the lecturer available for questions etc. outside the lecture?



2.9 Did you get along well with the lecturer's presentation style (speaking speed, ...)?



2.10 Were the slides helpful?



2.11 Was the script helpful?



2.12 Were additional materials for the lecture (lecture videos, wiki, ...) helpful?



2.13 Were the lecture materials made available on time?



2.14 How many hours did you spend in total on the lecture, its preparation and follow-up per week (excluding exercise sheets and exercise groups)?



3 Exercise sheets

3.1 How did you perceive the level of difficulty of the exercise sheets?



3.2 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied...



3.3 How much time was allowed between publication and submission/discussion of the exercise sheets?



3.4 Did the exercise sheets fit in with the lecture regarding the time schedule? The lecture was...



3.5 How much did the exercise sheets help you to achieve the learning objective?



3.6 Do you think the required coursework for this module is appropriate? It is...



4 Exercise group

4.1 How often did you attend the exercise group?



4.2 How much did you understand during the exercise group?



4.3 How much detail were the topics explained?



4.4 Was the time for the exercise group appropriate for the content to be discussed?



4.5 The interactivity in the exercise group was...



4.6 How would you rate the size of your exercise group? The size was...



4.7 Is the tutor competent in their subject?



4.8 Did the tutor respond to questions satisfactorily and clearly?



4.9 Was the tutor available for questions etc. outside of the exercise group?



4.10 Were the tutor's corrections of exercise sheets comprehensible?



4.11 How much did the exercise group help you to achieve your learning goals?



4.12 Did you feel comfortable in your exercise group?



4.13 How many hours did you spend in total on exercise group and exercise sheets per week?



5 Module

5.1 The amount of content in the module was...



5.2 Did the structure of the module follow a clearly recognizable concept and a logical sequence?



5.3 To what extent was the content of the module motivated in an overarching context (e.g. through references to research/practise)?



5.4 Do you think that you have achieved the learning objective of the module?



5.5 Do you feel well prepared for the exam?



5.6 Did the module meet your expectations?



5.7 Would you recommend this module to others?



5.8 Your interest in this topic has...



5.9 Do you think the lecturer would be worthy of an award (teaching prize) for this lecture?



6 Free Text Comments

6.1 What did you like about this module?

- talks a bunch about papers & applications of the technology
The course covered practical applications of AI & LLMs.

6.2 What did you not like about this module?

- organisation was sadly kind of bad (what should we hand in, when, how much?) - access to GPU cluster / GPUs was required for the mandatory project
Mid July, I still have no idea what to expect from the exam. The lecture started very late (late April) and a lot of lectures got canceled. There therefore was not a lot of content. There were no exercise sheets, only one project we had to do over the semester. The project we had to do was very time intensive and we had to learn how to do it ourselves. The lecture did not really prepare us for the project we had to do to get the submission. I feel very unsure about the exam, because I still have no clear idea what I even should study for the exam. Also: we were not provided with compute resources for our project. Without access to GPU's, teams had a disadvantage! Especially with the Time we had to invest for our project, this only being a 4 credits course does not seem right.

6.3 What could be improved in the future?

- more focus on privacy when choosing a project (no public sheet) - using Qualitätsverbesserungsmittel so that everyone can create a poster without having to pay out of pocket
Especially for the project, I would have wanted a better defined scope for what we have to do. 2 days before the presentations, we received the information, that we do not need to hand in a poster, but a digital presentation is also okay. We had almost 2 months for our project but received very important information 2 days before! Also: on the day of the presentation, I learned that we will have 5 minutes to present. I'm unsure if I missed that before, but no mail or lecture slide mentioned that time limit. Regarding not knowing what to expect from the exam: getting some examples would have been amazing (like sample exercises or topics covered).
organizational stuff, and more detailed explanations for the project grade.

6.4 Here you have space for further comments and feedback on the module.

The lecturer sometimes seemed a bit too hyped about LLMs. The first 2 lectures seemed like being within the AI bubble on twitter and some arguments seemed unscientific.

6.5 Here you have space for comments and feedback on the design of the evaluation survey.

Since this lecture does not have exercise sheets or regular exercises, a lot of questions were not applicable.
Also: regarding how often I attend the lecture: there should be something between often and always: mmost of the time for example when I'm ill / have a doctors appointment, but otherwise I'm there.