

Computer Vision II – Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall

Computer Vision II – Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

September 7, 2019

Turned in Questionnaires: 8

# 1 Lecture Evaluation

## 1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.


1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

Always – Never

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.7




1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

Yes – No

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.5




1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

Always – Never

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.5




1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

Very helpful – Not helpful

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.9




1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough?

Always – Never

Answers: 7

Mean: 2.0

Standard-Deviation: 1.1



## 2 Lecturer Evaluation

### 2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?


Everything – Nothing

13% 63% 25% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.1

Standard-Deviation: 0.6



2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?


Always – Never

75% 13% 13% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?


Always – Never

88% 13% 0% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.1

Standard-Deviation: 0.3



2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?


Very well – Not at all

25% 75% 0% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.8

Standard-Deviation: 0.4



2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was...


Too fast – Too slow

25% 63% 13% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.9

Standard-Deviation: 0.6



## 3 Module Evaluation

### 3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?


Much – Nothing

75% 25% 0% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.4



3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?


Yes – No

63% 38% 0% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?


Yes – No

63% 38% 0% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



3.1.4 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?


Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

50% 25% 25% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.8

Standard-Deviation: 0.8



3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?


Yes – No

75% 0% 25% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.9



3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?


Too high – Too low

0% 13% 50% 38% 0%


Answers: 8

Mean: 3.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?



## 4 Exercise Evaluation

### 4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?


Always – Never

38% 13% 25% 0% 25%

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.6

Standard-Deviation: 1.6



4.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?


Always – Never

63% 25% 13% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 1.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.7




#### 4.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied...

Not at all – Greatly

Answers: 8

Mean: 3.1

Standard-Deviation: 0.9




#### 4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?

Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind

Answers: 8

Mean: 3.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.5




#### 4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!

Too big – Too small

Answers: 8

Mean: 3.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.7




#### 4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were...

Too difficult – Very easy

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.6

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



## 5 Exercise Class Evaluation

### 5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.


#### 5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?

Always – Never

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?


Always – Never

13% 38% 50% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?


Always – Never

25% 25% 50% 0% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.8



5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?


Yes – No

25% 13% 50% 13% 0%

Answers: 8

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 1.0



## 6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

|                  |     |  |
|------------------|-----|--|
| excellent (1)    | 50% | <div style="width: 50%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div> |
| good (2)         | 25% | <div style="width: 25%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div> |
| satisfactory (3) | 25% | <div style="width: 25%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div> |
| adequate (4)     | 0%  | <div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>  |
| poor (5)         | 0%  | <div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>  |
| very poor (6)    | 0%  | <div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>  |

## 7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

That it reaches state of the art in varous topics and always link Topics To current Problems

Give the reference book in every module

The lecturer introduce lots of research papers thats relevent to the course

---

Comprehensive knowledge of CV are given in the lecture  
Prof is very professional who makes the module pretty solid.

7.2 What could be improved?

decrease the speed of the lectures to give time for digesting the ideas and move Questions to [unreadable]

---

Can the lecture introduce more state-of-art and deep learning knowledge.

---

Slides can include more detail.

---

Some parts of the lecture is a bit old topics and maybe moving to a nearer consepts would be helpful.

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

Good lecture in general. However, I would really like to have moch more content relevant to deep learning.

---

I think the volume of the contents generally is a lot and removing some less important topic and consentrating on more important ones would be better.



# Lecturers' Questionnaire

This part contains data provided by the lecturers.

## 1 Lecture metadata

---

|   |
|---|
| Number of students in the lecture at the beginning of the semester                        |
| Number of students in the lecture at the end of the semester                              |
| Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the beginning of the semester |
| Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the end of the semester       |
| Number of students that have registered for the exam                                      |

---

## 2 Exercise classes

---

|  |
|--|
| Number of exercise classes   |
| Average number of students per exercise class at the end of the semester |

---

The students have been assigned to an exercise class in the following way:

## 3 Helpful stuff

There has been **no** test exam.

Sample solutions for exercise tasks have **not** been distributed.

## 4 Free text comments

**4.1 In your opinion, what aspects of the module worked well this semester?**

**4.2 What would you change if you were to offer this module again and why?**

**4.3 In case there have been obligatory course achievements: Please judge on their effectivity regarding the learning success of the students.**

**4.4 Further remarks**