Intelligent Learning and Analysis Systems: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery – Prof. Dr. Stefan Wrobel; Dr. Tamas Horvath

# Intelligent Learning and Analysis Systems: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery – Prof. Dr. Stefan Wrobel; Dr. Tamas Horvath

Lecture Survey - Fachschaft Informatik

October 28, 2018

Turned in Questionnaires: 42

# 1 Lecture Evaluation

# 1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

Always – Never	45%	33%	14%	7%	0%
Answers: 42					
Mean: 1.8 Standard-Deviation: 0.9	1	2	3	4	5
1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to year	ou?				
Yes – No	44%	34%	5~%	12%	5%
Answers: 41			-		
Standard-Deviation: 1.2	1	2	3	4	5
1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?					
Always – Never	31%	26%	21%	14%	7%
Answers: 42					
		Γ			
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3	1	2	3	4	5
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?	1	2	3	4	5
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful? Very helpful – Not helpful	1	2	3 20 %	4 22 %	5 2 %
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful? Very helpful – Not helpful Answers: 41	1	2	3	4 22 %	2 %
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful? Very helpful – Not helpful Answers: 41 Mean: 2.5 Standard-Deviation: 1.1	1 17%	2 39%	3 20 %	4 22 %	2 %
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful? Very helpful – Not helpful Answers: 41 Mean: 2.5 Standard-Deviation: 1.1 1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough?	1	2 39%	3 20 %	4 22 %	2 %
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful? Very helpful – Not helpful Answers: 41 Mean: 2.5 Standard-Deviation: 1.1 1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough? Always – Never	1 17 % 1 18 %	2 39 % 2 36 %	3 20 % 3 28 %	4 22 % 4 15 %	2 %
Mean: 2.4 Standard-Deviation: 1.3 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful? Very helpful – Not helpful Answers: 41 Mean: 2.5 Standard-Deviation: 1.1 1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough? Always – Never Answers: 39	1 17 % 1 18 %	2 39 % 2 36 %	3 20 % 3 28 %	$\frac{1}{4}$	2 %

# 2 Lecturer Evaluation

# 2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Stefan Wrobel.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing	17%	38%	24%	17%	5%
Answers: 42					
Mean: 2.5					
Standard-Deviation: 1.1	1	2	3	4	5
2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?					
Always – Never	40%	26%	26%	5%	3%
Answers: 38	-				
Mean: 2.1					
Standard-Deviation: 1.0	1	2	3	4	5
2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of t	he lecture?				
Always – Never	33%	17%	27%	13%	10%
Answers: 30					
Mean: 2.5					
Standard-Deviation: 1.3	1	2	3	4	5
2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?					
2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically? Very well – Not at all	52%	15%	18%	10%	5%
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well – Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> </ul>	52 %	15%	18%	10%	5%
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well - Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> <li>Mean: 2.0</li> </ul>	52 %	15 %	18 %	10 %	5 %
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well – Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> <li>Mean: 2.0</li> <li>Standard-Deviation: 1.2</li> </ul>	52%	15 %	18 %	10 %	5 %
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well – Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> <li>Mean: 2.0</li> <li>Standard-Deviation: 1.2</li> <li>2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was</li> </ul>	52 %	15 %	18 %	10 %	5 %
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well – Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> <li>Mean: 2.0</li> <li>Standard-Deviation: 1.2</li> <li>2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was</li> <li>Too fast – Too slow</li> </ul>	52 %	15 % 2 19 %	18 % 3 50 %	10 %	5%
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well – Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> <li>Mean: 2.0</li> <li>Standard-Deviation: 1.2</li> <li>2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was</li> <li>Too fast – Too slow</li> <li>Answers: 42</li> </ul>	52 %	15 % 2 19 %	18 % 3 50 %	10 %	5%
<ul> <li>2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?</li> <li>Very well – Not at all</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> <li>Mean: 2.0</li> <li>Standard-Deviation: 1.2</li> <li>2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was</li> <li>Too fast – Too slow</li> <li>Answers: 42</li> <li>Mean: 2.6</li> </ul>	52 %	15 % 2 19 %	18 %	10 %	5 %

#### 2.2 Please rate Dr. Tamas Horvath.

Standard-Deviation: 0.8

2.2.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

 $10\,\%$ 48% $19\,\%$ 14%10%Everything – Nothing Answers: 42 Mean: 2.7 Γ ٦. Standard-Deviation: 1.1 51 2 3 4 2.2.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?  $49\,\%$  $24\,\%$  $19\,\%$ 8% $0\,\%$ Always - Never Answers: 37 Mean: 1.9 Standard-Deviation: 1.0  $\mathbf{2}$ 51 3 4 2.2.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?  $42\,\%$  $21\,\%$  $30\,\%$ 6%0%Always - Never Answers: 33 Mean: 2.0٦ Standard-Deviation: 1.0 1 2 3 4 52.2.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically? 12% $39\,\%$  $29\,\%$  $10\,\%$  $10\,\%$ Very well – Not at all Answers: 41 Mean: 2.7٦ ſ Standard-Deviation: 1.1 1  $\mathbf{2}$ 3 4 52.2.5 The speed of proceeding was... 7% $0\,\%$ Too fast - Too slow  $27\,\%$  $50\,\%$  $15\,\%$ Answers: 40 Mean: 2.7 Γ ٦

1

2

3

4

5

# 3 Module Evaluation

# 3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much – Nothing	24%	40%	24%	10%	2%
Answers: 42					
Mean: 2.3					]
Standard-Deviation: 1.0	1	2	3	4	5
3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support su	ccessful comp	letion of th	e module?		
Yes – No	67%	21%	5%	7%	0%
Answers: 42					
Mean: 1.5					
Standard-Deviation: 0.9	1	2	3	4	5
3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements a	are adequate?				
Yes – No	57%	25%	10%	0%	7%
Answers: 40	_				
Mean: 1.8					
Standard-Deviation: 1.1	1	2	3	4	5
3.1.4 Did your interest in this module's field of study ch	nange?				
Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.	20%	37%	24%	12%	7%
Answers: 41					
Mean: 2.5 Standard Deviation: 1.2					
Standard-Deviation. 1.2	1	2	3	4	5
3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to you:	r best friend?				
Yes – No	29%	29%	24%	7%	10%
Answers: 41					
Mean: 2.4					
Standard-Deviation: 1.2	1	2	3	4	5

3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?



3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?



#### **4 Exercise Evaluation**

#### 4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

73% $0\,\%$ 2% $0\,\%$  $24\,\%$ Always - Never Answers: 41 Mean: 1.3 Γ Standard-Deviation: 0.6 1 2 3 4 54.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available on time? 90%0% $0\,\%$ 0%10%Always - Never Answers: 41 Mean: 1.1  $\frac{1}{2}$ Standard-Deviation: 0.3 1 3 4 54.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied... 5% $23\,\%$  $40\,\%$  $23\,\%$  $10\,\%$ Not at all – Greatly Answers: 40 Mean: 3.1Standard-Deviation: 1.0 1  $\mathbf{2}$ 3 4 5

#### 4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?

Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind	5%	10%	70%	10%	5%
Answers: 40	_				
Mean: 3.0 Standard-Deviation: 0.8	1	2	3	4	5
4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!					
Too big – Too small	10%	10%	78%	0%	2%
Answers: 41					
Mean: 28				_	
Standard-Deviation: 0.7	1	2	3	1 4	5
<ul><li>4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were</li></ul>	1	2	3	4	5
<ul> <li>Standard-Deviation: 0.7</li> <li>4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were</li> <li>Too difficult – Very easy</li> </ul>	1	$\frac{1}{2}$ 35 %	3 40 %	1 4 7 %	5
<ul> <li>Standard-Deviation: 0.7</li> <li>4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were</li> <li>Too difficult – Very easy</li> <li>Answers: 40</li> </ul>	1	2	3 40 %	1 4 7 %	5

# 5 Exercise Class Evaluation

#### 5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?

Always – Never	65%	23%	7%	2%	2%
Answers: 40 Mean: 1.6	-				
Standard-Deviation: 0.9	1	2	3	4	5
5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's correction	ons and gradings?				
Always – Never	51%	32%	10%	5%	2%
Answers: 41 Mean: 1.8					
Standard-Deviation: 1.0	1	2	3	4	5

5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?



#### 6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



#### 7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

always examples to the algorithms

the algorithms part

The topics and real-world application was very interesting

Topics Both lecturer seems very friendly

Algorithm

Nice examples for the algorithms

The content is useful

The midterm prepared us very much for the final exam

#### few interesting algorithms

Examples of each algorithms provided in the lecture

- very interesting topic

- motivating tutor

Frquent sets

mainly clustering Algorithms and Association Rule mining up to 1st level

clustering & association rulemining

slides of Dr. Horvath's part

have example for every algorithm

7.2 What could be improved?

the theoretical part, with proofs B alot to be mugged up & found that irrelevant

Publish slides before the lecture so one can prepare for it and be able to ask questions. Programming exercises would have been nice

Other lecture hall. Acoustic was terrible. Really terrible If place dosn't change I wouldn't recommend taking this lecture

Lot of proof is not industry oriented. And modern upcoming techniques should be taughd

Lecturer sometimes hard to understand (accoustically)

Always can't hear the voice of the teacher clearly

The slides were very unhelpful, with formulas being used without the variables in them explained. Make the slides more clear.

the work to be done was a lot

More examples in the lectures could help in understanding the content better

- slides not two sufficient

- hardly any link between exercise and lectures
- provide coding exercise for algorithms right

Programming exercises to better understand the algorithms

Sometimes, espacially guest lectures the lectures were inqudible, I didn't understand a quarter of what the first one said

more modern topics

- some definitions/algorithms were not explained good on the slides
- some more examples on the slides

It could be more practical oriented

more examples could be given for some complex algorithms like dualige-Advance algorithem

the lecture notes could be more explanatory

slides of Prof. Dr. Wrobel's part (please define the notations)

less provement tasks

Topics and exercises questions should be more relevant many subtopics are skipped

The lectures are too theoretical Ahso, the definitions are not always igorans. No programming exercises

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

Yes, I wish the course content was less theortical (with so many proofs) and more of practical application. Even the tutor I got was horrible. Juspile of mails and everything, he/she was never answerable and second too in considerale

Instead of mathematical proofs & focus more on current industry trends, that will be more benefical. Prof obviously a person will foget after some time so it does not make any sens. and slides should be more informative

I hope that teacher could speak loudly and clearly

Also needs a better room. Could not understand lecturer at all from the echos

The professor should get examples for the content he teaches. It is sometimes almost impossible to understand and the mathematical proof on the slides during the lecture

- need to make the slides more informative

- need to imporve the course intent to match with the industry or other research works being conducted

Some of the exercises were difficult as they needed strong math background

because of the slides the module was sometimes very frustrating

The slides could be more explainatory

- very good explanations of Dr. Hovath (of the alg. and the proofs)

quality of lecture can be improved. More.