Computer Vision II – Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall

Computer Vision II – Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

October 28, 2018

Turned in Questionnaires: 7

1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

| Always – Never | 43 % | 57 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

| Yes – No | 71 % | 14 % | 14 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

| Always – Never | 29 % | 43 % | 14 % | 14 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.1
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

| Very helpful – Not helpful | 43 % | 29 % | 0 % | 29 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.1
Standard-Deviation: 1.2

1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough?

| Always – Never | 14 % | 43 % | 29 % | 14 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.9

2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing

| 0 % | 43 % | 43 % | 14 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.7
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

Always – Never

| 57 % | 43 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

Always – Never

| 43 % | 29 % | 29 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.9
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

Very well – Not at all

| 43 % | 29 % | 14 % | 14 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.1

2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was...

Too fast – Too slow

| 29 % | 71 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.7
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

## 3 Module Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much – Nothing

| 57% | 43% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

Yes – No

| 43% | 29% | 29% | 0% | 0% |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.9
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

Yes – No

| 43% | 29% | 29% | 0% | 0% |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.9
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

3.1.4 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

| 29% | 43% | 29% | 0% | 0% |

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No

| 71% | 0% | 29% | 0% | 0% |

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.9

3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low   14 %   29 %   43 %   14 %   0 %

Answers: 7
Mean: 2.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.9

3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?

[0,3) hours	0 %	
[3,6) hours	29 %	
[6,8) hours	57 %	
[8,10) hours	14 %	
[10,12) hours	0 %	
[12,∞) hours	0 %	

4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never   33 %   50 %   0 %   0 %   17 %

Answers: 6
Mean: 2.2
Standard-Deviation: 1.3

4.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?

Always – Never   100 %   0 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

4.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied...

Not at all – Greatly   0 %   20 %   20 %   40 %   20 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 3.6
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?

Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind

0 %	20 %	80 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 2.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

1	2	3	4	5

4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!

Too big – Too small

0 %	0 %	100 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

1	2	3	4	5

4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were...

Too difficult – Very easy

0 %	20 %	60 %	20 %	0 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.6

| 1	2	3	4	5

5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?

Always – Never

40 %	60 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

1	2	3	4	5

5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?

Always – Never

20 %	40 %	0 %	40 %	0 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 2.6
Standard-Deviation: 1.2

1	2	3	4	5

5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?

Always – Never	25 %	50 %	0 %	25 %	0 %

Answers: 4
Mean: 2.2
Standard-Deviation: 1.1

5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

| Yes – No	40 %	40 %	20 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 5
Mean: 1.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1)	71 %
good (2)	0 %
satisfactory (3)	14 %
adequate (4)	14 %
poor (5)	0 %
very poor (6)	0 %

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

structure of slides
ideas of machine learning

Exercise

variety of topics

Great examples and techniques, many topics covered. State of the art papers and applications are mentioned and explained

Brood range of topics

7.2 What could be improved?

give students sometime to understand the slides then goto next slide

More arguments for the points from the exercise sheets. Now we only get the sam of all points without explanation

---

Sometimes speed is too high. For example about Boltzmann machines I had to watch lecture in the Internet

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

I did not do the exercises Just visited the course to find out more about Computer Vision

---

Thanks for your lecture!

Also I don't remember, but in the slides there is no link to the source lecture about RBM
Everything else mostly is fine