Physics-based Modelling – Prof. Dr. Andreas Weber

Physics-based Modelling – Prof. Dr. Andreas Weber

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

May 8, 2018

Turned in Questionnaires: 6

1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture	?				
Always – Never	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 1.5 Standard-Deviation: 0.5	1	2	3	4	5
1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly s	tructured	to you?			
Yes – No	33%	17%	33%	17%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 2.3 Standard-Deviation: 1.1	1	2	3	4	5
1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensit	_				
Always – Never	50%	33%	17%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 1.7					
Standard-Deviation: 0.7	1	2	3	1 4	5
1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?					
Very helpful – Not helpful	17%	17%	33%	33%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 2.8 Standard-Deviation: 1.1	1	2	3	4	5
1.1.5 Have the topics been explained exten	sively enou	ıgh?			
Always – Never	17%	33%	50%	0%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 2.3					

2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Andreas Weber.

	veber.				
2.1.1 How much of the content do you unde	erstand du	ring the	lecture?		
Everything – Nothing	17%	67%	0%	17%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 2.2 Standard-Deviation: 0.9		2	3	4	5
2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your question	s profound	lly?			
Always – Never	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 1.3 Standard-Deviation: 0.5	1	2	3	l 4	5
2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for question	ns outside	of the lea	cture?		
Always – Never	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 1.2 Standard-Deviation: 0.4	1	2	3	1 4	5
2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer ac	oustically?				
Very well – Not at all	50%	33%	17%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 1.7 Standard-Deviation: 0.7	1	2	3	1 4	5
2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was					
Too fast – Too slow	0%	0 %	100%	0%	0%
Answers: 5 Mean: 3.0 Standard-Deviation: 0.0	1	1 2	I 3	 4	5

3 Module Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much - Nothing	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 2.5					
Standard-Deviation: 0.5	1	2	3	4	5

3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

Yes – No	17%	67%	0%	17%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 2.2			[
Standard-Deviation: 0.9	1	2	3	4	5

3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

Yes – No	33%	17%	50%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 2.2					
Standard-Deviation: 0.9	1	2	3	4	5

3.1.4 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.	0%	40%	60%	0%	0%
Answers: 5 Mean: 2.6					
Standard-Deviation: 0.5	1	2	3	4	5


3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes - No33%17%50%0%0%Answers: 6Mean: 2.2Standard-Deviation: 0.912345

3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%
Answers: 6 Mean: 2.8	г				
Standard-Deviation: 0.4	1	2	3	4	5


3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks. . . ?



4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?



4.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available	on time?)			
Always – Never	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 1.0	1				
Standard-Deviation: 0.0	1	2	3	4	5
4.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets var	ied				
Not at all – Greatly	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 2.8				1	
Standard-Deviation: 0.4	1	2	3	4	5
4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match	the curr	ent cont	ents of th	e lecture	?
Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%
Answers: 6	_				
Mean: 2.8 - Standard-Deviation: 0.4	1			1	
Standard-Deviation. 0.4	1	2	3	4	5
4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!					
Too big – Too small	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Answers: 6					
Mean: 3.0 - Standard-Deviation: 0.0	[I	I	1	
Standard-Deviation. 0.0	1	2	3	4	5
4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were					
Too difficult – Very easy	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%
Answers: 6	Γ				
Mean: 2.5	<u> </u>				
Standard-Deviation: 0.5	1	2	3	4	5


5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial? $67\,\%$ $0\,\%$ $33\,\%$ 0% $0\,\%$ Always - Never Answers: 6 Mean: 1.3 $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ Standard-Deviation: 0.5 1 $\mathbf{2}$ 4 5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings? $50\,\%$ $17\,\%$ $33\,\%$ $0\,\%$ $0\,\%$ Always - Never Answers: 6 Mean: 1.8 Standard-Deviation: 0.9 3 51 2 4 5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class? 33% $33\,\%$ $33\,\%$ 0%0%Always - Never Answers: 6 Mean: 2.0 Г $\frac{1}{3}$ ٦ Standard-Deviation: 0.8 1 $\mathbf{2}$ 4 55.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class? $50\,\%$ $33\,\%$ $17\,\%$ 0%0%Yes – No Answers: 6 Mean: 1.7Γ Standard-Deviation: 0.7 1 2 3 4 5

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

small exercise groups Exercise Projects over extended periods of time

friendly prof in principle interesting prof

I like the practical examples a lot

The professor explains very well with nice examples. Everyone may understand the topic

7.2 What could be improved?

Second half of lecture seemed unorganized

slides

- sometimes content are lost
- letters are replaced by ?
- changing style

its hard to see the red line trough the lecture

maybe more/better structured slides

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.