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1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture’s concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

Always – Never

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

Yes – No

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

Always – Never

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

Very helpful – Not helpful

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough?

Always – Never

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate apl. Prof. Dr. Frank Kurth.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing

Answers: 8
Mean: 2.1
Standard-Deviation: 0.9
### 2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>29%</th>
<th>29%</th>
<th>43%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answers: 7  
Mean: 2.1  
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

### 2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>29%</th>
<th>43%</th>
<th>29%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answers: 7  
Mean: 2.0  
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

### 2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very well – Not at all</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>38%</th>
<th>13%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answers: 8  
Mean: 1.6  
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

### 2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Too fast – Too slow</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>88%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>13%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answers: 8  
Mean: 3.2  
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

### 2.2 Please rate Prof. Dr. Michael Clausen.

#### 2.2.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Everything – Nothing</th>
<th>29%</th>
<th>14%</th>
<th>43%</th>
<th>14%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answers: 7  
Mean: 2.4  
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

#### 2.2.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>33%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>67%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answers: 6  
Mean: 2.3  
Standard-Deviation: 0.9
2.2.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

Always – Never

Answers: 6
Mean: 2.3
Standard-Deviation: 1.4

2.2.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

Very well – Not at all

Answers: 7
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.9

2.2.5 The speed of proceeding was...

Too fast – Too slow

Answers: 7
Mean: 3.3
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3 Module Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much – Nothing

Answers: 8
Mean: 2.1
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

Yes – No

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

Yes – No

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.6
Standard-Deviation: 0.7
3.1.4 Did your interest in this module’s field of study change?

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

Answers: 8
Mean: 2.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No

Answers: 8
Mean: 2.2
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low

Answers: 8
Mean: 2.9
Standard-Deviation: 0.9

3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0,3) hours</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3,6) hours</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6,8) hours</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8,10) hours</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10,12) hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12,∞) hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

4.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?

Always – Never

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4
4.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied...
Not at all – Greatly
Answers: 8
Mean: 2.9
Standard-Deviation: 1.1

4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?
Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind
Answers: 8
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!
Too big – Too small
Answers: 8
Mean: 2.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.7

4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were...
Too difficult – Very easy
Answers: 8
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

5 Exercise Class Evaluation
5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.
5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?
Always – Never
Answers: 8
Mean: 1.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor’s corrections and gradings?
Always – Never
Answers: 8
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.2
5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?

Always – Never

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

Yes – No

Answers: 8
Mean: 1.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

- excellent (1) 25%
- good (2) 63%
- satisfactory (3) 13%
- adequate (4) 0%
- poor (5) 0%
- very poor (6) 0%

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

well structured.
- math aspect
- Fourier

Theory (over examples).

Mathematical one. It fills out the gaps in my Math and Physics

N/A

7.2 What could be improved?

slide can be more simple without so many words on it.

Intonation
Speed of exercise too fast

Replace matlab with python. Increase difficulty significantly, include proofs.
7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

seems to be helpful to understand topics of other subjects of different lectures (Graphics, Visualization etc.)

Would have liked to see more theory and rigorous proofs. Was able to follow everything without any additional study.

thank you =)

//--This is a comment
Fragebogen für Lehrende

Die Daten aus diesem Teil stammen von den Lehrenden.

1 Vorlesungsdaten

| Anzahl Studierender in der Vorlesung zu Beginn des Semesters | 16 |
| Anzahl Studierender in der Vorlesung zum Ende des Semesters | 11 |
| Anzahl Studierender in den Übungen zu Beginn des Semesters | 12 |
| Anzahl Studierender in den Übungen zum Ende des Semesters | 12 |
| Zahl der Klausuranmeldungen | 13 |

2 Übungsbetrieb

| Anzahl der Übungsgruppen | 1 |
| Durchschnittliche Gruppengröße zum Ende des Semesters | 12 |

Die Übungsgruppen wurden wie folgt eingeteilt:

Nicht zutreffend: Es gab nur 1 Übungsgruppe.

3 Hilfreiches

Eine Probeklausur wurde nicht angeboten.
Musterlösungen für Übungsaufgaben wurden nicht angeboten.

4 Freitextfelder

4.1 Was hat Ihrer Ansicht nach bei der Durchführung des Moduls gut funktioniert?

-

4.2 Was würden Sie beim nächsten Mal anders machen und weshalb?

Eine Tafel (statt Whiteboard) wäre sinnvoll.
Anmerkung: Es ist kaum zu glauben, dass es im neuen HS-Gebäude in Poppelsdorf keine (Kreide-)Tafeln in den Hörsälen geben soll.

4.3 Falls Studienleistungen verlangt wurden: Wie bewerten Sie deren Wirksamkeit bezüglich des Lernerfolgs?

-
4.4 Weitere Anmerkungen

Der letzte Übungszettel enthielt Aufgaben, die Klausurniveau hatten / haben. Insofern wurde fast eine Probeklausur angeboten.