Parameterized Complexity – Prof. Dr. Stefan Kratsch

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

November 29, 2016

1 Lecture Evaluation

## 1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

Always – Never

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	1	2	3	4	5

1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

Yes – No

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	1	2	3	4	5

1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

Always – Never

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

	50 %	0 %	50 %	0 %	0 %
	1	2	3	4	5

1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

Very helpful – Not helpful

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

|	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	1	2	3	4	5

1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough?

Always – Never

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

	50 %	0 %	50 %	0 %	0 %
	1	2	3	4	5

# 2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Stefan Kratsch.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

	0 %	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	1	2	3	4	5

2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

| Always – Never | 50 % | 50 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

### 2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

| Always – Never | 100 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

### 2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

| Very well – Not at all | 100 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

### 2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was...

| Too fast – Too slow | 0 % | 50 % | 50 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3 Module Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

### 3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

| Much – Nothing | 0 % | 50 % | 50 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

### 3.1.4 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?

| Strongly inc. – Strongly dec. | 0 % | 100 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No

|	0 %	50 %	0 %	50 %	0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.0

3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low

|	0 %	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?

[0,3) hours	0 %	
[3,6) hours	0 %	
[6,8) hours	100 %	
[8,10) hours	0 %	
[10,12) hours	0 %	
[12,∞) hours	0 %	

4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never

	50 %	50 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

4.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?

Always – Never

	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

4.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied...

Not at all – Greatly

	0 %	0 %	50 %	50 %	0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 3.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?

Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind   0 %   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 2.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!

Too big – Too small   0 %   0 %   0 %   50 %   50 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 4.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were...

Too difficult – Very easy   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?

Always – Never   100 %   0 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 1
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?

Always – Never   100 %   0 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 1
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?

Always – Never   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

Yes – No   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Answers: 2
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1)	50 %	
good (2)	50 %	
satisfactory (3)	0 %	
adequate (4)	0 %	
poor (5)	0 %	
very poor (6)	0 %	

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

- very good explanations
- many examples
- lecturer made sure that
- everything is understood
- during the lecture
- execises were solved during the weekly class

7.2 What could be improved?

more students

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

Fragebogen für Lehrende

Die Daten aus diesem Teil stammen von den Lehrenden.

1 Vorlesungsdaten

Anzahl Studierender in der Vorlesung zu Beginn des Semesters	8
Anzahl Studierender in der Vorlesung zum Ende des Semesters	2
Anzahl Studierender in den Übungen zu Beginn des Semesters	2
Anzahl Studierender in den Übungen zum Ende des Semesters	2
Zahl der Klausuranmeldungen	6

2 Übungsbetrieb

Anzahl der Übungsgruppen	1
Durchschnittliche Gruppengröße zum Ende des Semesters	2

Die Übungsgruppen wurden wie folgt eingeteilt:

Nicht zutreffend: Es gab nur 1 Übungsgruppe.

3 Hilfreiches

Eine Probeklausur wurde nicht angeboten.

Musterlösungen für Übungsaufgaben wurden nicht angeboten.

4 Freitextfelder

4.1 Was hat Ihrer Ansicht nach bei der Durchführung des Moduls gut funktioniert?

* PARALLEL SEMINAR UND LAB MIT FÜNF SEHR MOTIVIERTEN STUDENTEN.

* SEHR GUTE INTERAKTION MIT DEN TEILNEHMERN.

* GRUPPENARBEIT IN DEN ÜBUNGEN, BETREUT DURCH TUTOR.

4.2 Was würden Sie beim nächsten Mal anders machen und weshalb?

* WIEDERHOLUNGSRATE VON EINMAL PRO DREI SEMESTER SOLLTE REICHEN DAMIT JEDER INTERESSIERTE STUDENT DIE VORLESUNG HÖREN KANN (WICHTIG WEIL GRUNDLAGE FÜR FORSCHUNGSNAHE MASTERARBEITEN)

*VORLESUNG UND ÜBUNGSZEITEN UM 8:30 MÖGLICHST VERMEIDEN, WENN RAUMSITUATION ES ZULÄSST, DA ES MANCHE STUDENTEN ABSCHRECKT.

4.3 Falls Studienleistungen verlangt wurden: Wie bewerten Sie deren Wirksamkeit bezüglich des Lernerfolgs?

-

4.4 Weitere Anmerkungen

-