Theoretical Aspects of Intruder Search – PD Dr. Elmar Langetepe

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

11. April 2016

1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

### 1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

Always – Never   67 %   17 %   17 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

Yes – No   33 %   67 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

Always – Never   33 %   67 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

### 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

Very helpful – Not helpful   33 %   67 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

### 1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?

Many – None   0 %   0 %   17 %   50 %   33 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 4.2
Standardabweichung: 0.7

2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate PD Dr. Elmar Langetepe.

### 2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing   0 %   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...

Too fast – Too slow   0 %   17 %   83 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4

2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

Always – Never   60 %   40 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 5
Durchschnitt: 1.4
Standardabweichung: 0.5

2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

Always – Never   75 %   25 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4

2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

Very well – Not at all   67 %   0 %   33 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.9

3 Module Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much – Nothing   0 %   40 %   40 %   20 %   0 %

Antworten: 5
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.7

3.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low   0 %   0 %   83 %   17 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 3.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4

3.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

Yes – No

| 50 % | 25 % | 25 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1 2 3 4 5

3.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

Yes – No

| 50 % | 25 % | 25 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1 2 3 4 5

### 3.1.5 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

| 0 % | 67 % | 33 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.3
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1 2 3 4 5

3.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No

| 50 % | 17 % | 33 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.9

1 2 3 4 5

3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks. . . ?

[0,3) hours	33 %
[3,6) hours	67 %
[6,8) hours	0 %
[8,10) hours	0 %
[10,12) hours	0 %
[12,∞) hours	0 %

4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

### 4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never

| 67 % | 17 % | 17 % | 0 % | 0 % |

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1 2 3 4 5

4.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?

Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind   0 %   17 %   83 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4

4.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?

Always – Never   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!

Too big – Too small   0 %   0 %   50 %   33 %   17 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 3.7
Standardabweichung: 0.7

4.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...

Too difficult – Very easy   0 %   0 %   60 %   40 %   0 %

Antworten: 5
Durchschnitt: 3.4
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...

Greatly – Not at all   0 %   33 %   17 %   33 %   17 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 3.3
Standardabweichung: 1.1

5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

### 5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?

Always – Never   50 %   50 %   0 %   0 %   0 %

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

### 5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?

Always – Never 25 % 50 % 25 % 0 % 0 %

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.0
Standardabweichung: 0.7

1 2 3 4 5

### 5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?

Always – Never 67 % 33 % 0 % 0 % 0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.3
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1 2 3 4 5

5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

Yes – No 83 % 17 % 0 % 0 % 0 %

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4

1 2 3 4 5

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1)	33 %	
good (2)	67 %	
satisfactory (3)	0 %	
adequate (4)	0 %	
poor (5)	0 %	
very poor (6)	0 %	

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

These geometry problems

7.2 What could be improved?

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.