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1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture’s concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>67%</th>
<th>17%</th>
<th>17%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes – No</th>
<th>33%</th>
<th>67%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>33%</th>
<th>67%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very helpful – Not helpful</th>
<th>33%</th>
<th>67%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Many – None</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>17%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>33%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 4.2
Standardabweichung: 0.7

2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate PD Dr. Elmar Langetepe.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Everything – Nothing</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5
2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...
Too fast – Too slow
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4

2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?
Always – Never
Antworten: 5
Durchschnitt: 1.4
Standardabweichung: 0.5

2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?
Always – Never
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4

2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?
Very well – Not at all
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.9

3 Module Evaluation
3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.
3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?
Much – Nothing
Antworten: 5
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.7

3.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?
Too high – Too low
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 3.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4
3.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

Yes – No

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.8

3.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

Yes – No

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.8

3.1.5 Did your interest in this module’s field of study change?

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.3
Standardabweichung: 0.5

3.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.9

3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0,3) hours</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3,6) hours</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6,8) hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8,10) hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10,12) hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12,∞) hours</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.8
4.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?
Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4

4.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?
Always – Never
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!
Too big – Too small
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 3.7
Standardabweichung: 0.7

4.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...
Too difficult – Very easy
Antworten: 5
Durchschnitt: 3.4
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...
Greatly – Not at all
Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 3.3
Standardabweichung: 1.1

5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?
Always – Never
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5
5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor’s corrections and gradings?

Always – Never

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.0
Standardabweichung: 0.7

5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?

Always – Never

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.3
Standardabweichung: 0.5

5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

Yes – No

Antworten: 6
Durchschnitt: 1.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1) 33%
good (2) 67%
satisfactory (3) 0%
adequate (4) 0%
poor (5) 0%
very poor (6) 0%

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

These geometry problems

7.2 What could be improved?

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.