

# Foundations of Graphics – Prof. Dr. Matthias B. Hullin

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

11. April 2016

## 1 Lecture Evaluation

### 1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

#### 1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?


Always – Never

63% 30% 3% 3% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 1.5

Standardabweichung: 0.7



#### 1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?


Yes – No

53% 43% 3% 0% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 1.5

Standardabweichung: 0.6



#### 1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?


Always – Never

33% 37% 27% 3% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.9



#### 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?


Very helpful – Not helpful

30% 40% 30% 0% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.8



#### 1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?


Many – None

0% 31% 28% 31% 10%

Antworten: 29

Durchschnitt: 3.2

Standardabweichung: 1.0



## 2 Lecturer Evaluation

### 2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Matthias B. Hullin.

#### 2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?


Everything – Nothing

13% 73% 13% 0% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.5




### 2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...

Too fast – Too slow

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.8

Standardabweichung: 0.5




### 2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

Always – Never

Antworten: 27

Durchschnitt: 1.7

Standardabweichung: 0.7




### 2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

Always – Never

Antworten: 27

Durchschnitt: 1.9

Standardabweichung: 1.0




### 2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

Very well – Not at all

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 1.7

Standardabweichung: 0.9



## 3 Module Evaluation

### 3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.


#### 3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much – Nothing

Antworten: 29

Durchschnitt: 1.9

Standardabweichung: 0.9




#### 3.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.9

Standardabweichung: 0.5



### 3.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?


Yes – No

30% 37% 26% 4% 4%

Antworten: 27

Durchschnitt: 2.1

Standardabweichung: 1.0



### 3.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?


Yes – No

52% 21% 21% 0% 7%

Antworten: 29

Durchschnitt: 1.9

Standardabweichung: 1.2



### 3.1.5 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?


Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

28% 28% 31% 14% 0%

Antworten: 29

Durchschnitt: 2.3

Standardabweichung: 1.0



### 3.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?


Yes – No

55% 31% 14% 0% 0%


Antworten: 29

Durchschnitt: 1.6

Standardabweichung: 0.7



### 3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?



## 4 Exercise Evaluation

### 4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

#### 4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?


Always – Never

80% 20% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 1.2

Standardabweichung: 0.4



#### 4.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?


Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind

7% 14% 71% 7% 0%

Antworten: 28

Durchschnitt: 2.8

Standardabweichung: 0.7



#### 4.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?


Always – Never

37% 27% 30% 3% 3%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.1

Standardabweichung: 1.0



#### 4.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!


Too big – Too small

13% 7% 73% 7% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.7

Standardabweichung: 0.8



#### 4.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...


Too difficult – Very easy

0% 23% 67% 10% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.9

Standardabweichung: 0.6



#### 4.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...


Greatly – Not at all

0% 43% 47% 10% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.7

Standardabweichung: 0.6



### 5 Exercise Class Evaluation

#### 5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

##### 5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?


Always – Never

46% 29% 25% 0% 0%

Antworten: 28

Durchschnitt: 1.8

Standardabweichung: 0.8



### 5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?


Always – Never

30% 43% 17% 10% 0%

Antworten: 30

Durchschnitt: 2.1

Standardabweichung: 0.9



### 5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?


Always – Never

35% 45% 17% 3% 0%

Antworten: 29

Durchschnitt: 1.9

Standardabweichung: 0.8



### 5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?


Yes – No

55% 21% 17% 7% 0%

Antworten: 29


Durchschnitt: 1.8

Standardabweichung: 1.0



## 6 Comprehensive Rating

### 6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



## 7 Free Text Comments

### 7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

The blending of theoretical and practical aspects of the field of graphics.

---

Content.

---

Topics, structure, clear

---

that it is obligatory to get 50 % of points of (illegible)

---

A vibrant lecturer.

---

- variety of topics  
- organized lectures

---

Programming assignments

---

The last part when the lectures started to be about light and cameras.  
(the last 2 lectures)

---

I liked the topics

---

One of my favorite courses

---

Good motivation for slides  
Always good real-life examples  
Slides are well-structured

---

The enthusiasm of the professor  
How well he explains the topics

---

- Hullin is an excellent lecturer, and presenter.
- Theory is coupled with application throughout the course

---

- Hullin is a good person.
- Covers a lot of important topics.
- It's nice to listen to him talk.

---

- useful topic

---

The contents

---

Contents and the lectures

---

## 7.2 What could be improved?

Organization wrt sheet availability.  
This is very minor though

---

make lectures clearer

---

- Correlation between exercise & lectures with projects
- Decrease course workload or increase credit points

---

The material (slides)

---

Maybe less mathematics or better preparation for the Mathematics content

---

Some topics could be explained in more details

---

Less achievements for admission

---

Please post some lecture notes.  
No literature provided.  
Fix the problem with slide representation

---

- add CUDA exercise

---

- Provide exercise sheets on time, so students have one week to solve them.

---

- slides are not so clear, it is difficult to learn only from the slides.

---

The exercise sheet should be on time

---

Exercises should be online on time

---

### **7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.**

Graphics is not my preferred field of study, but I still enjoyed the lecture. It confirmed my preferences and still yielded some interesting knowledge and training.

---

maybe some more details.

---

Everything was fine. Thank you. =)

---

Nice class with nice tutors

Interesting topics

---

What could be improved?

The lecture is fine. The exercise assignments too.

The exercise groups most of the time don't help me to understand the problem more. It's hard to read and follow solutions students write to the white-board.

Pens for the white-board never seem to work.

- Sometimes I don't know what variables or function letters in formulas in the lecture stand for.

---

Professor is great at lecturing. Answers questions very deeply.