

Computer Vision – Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

11. April 2016

1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.


1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

Always – Never

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 1.1

Standardabweichung: 0.3




1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

Yes – No

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.6

Standardabweichung: 0.7




1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

Always – Never

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.2

Standardabweichung: 0.7




1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

Very helpful – Not helpful

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 3.6

Standardabweichung: 0.7




1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?

Many – None

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.9

Standardabweichung: 1.1



2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall.


2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 3.5

Standardabweichung: 0.9



2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...


Too fast – Too slow

25% 50% 25% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.7



2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?


Always – Never

75% 25% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 1.2

Standardabweichung: 0.4



2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?


Always – Never

71% 29% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 7

Durchschnitt: 1.3

Standardabweichung: 0.5



2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?


Very well – Not at all

38% 50% 0% 13% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 1.9

Standardabweichung: 0.9



3 Module Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?


Much – Nothing

63% 25% 13% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 1.5

Standardabweichung: 0.7



3.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?


Too high – Too low

25% 13% 50% 13% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.5

Standardabweichung: 1.0



3.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?


Yes – No

14% 29% 29% 29% 0%

Antworten: 7

Durchschnitt: 2.7

Standardabweichung: 1.0



3.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?


Yes – No

0% 57% 43% 0% 0%

Antworten: 7

Durchschnitt: 2.4

Standardabweichung: 0.5



3.1.5 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?


Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

13% 63% 25% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.1

Standardabweichung: 0.6



3.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?


Yes – No

13% 75% 13% 0% 0%


Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.5



3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?



4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?


Always – Never

50% 25% 0% 13% 13%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.1

Standardabweichung: 1.5



4.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?


Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind

0% 25% 75% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.8

Standardabweichung: 0.4



4.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?


Always – Never

88% 13% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 1.1

Standardabweichung: 0.3



4.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!


Too big – Too small

0% 0% 63% 25% 13%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 3.5

Standardabweichung: 0.7



4.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...


Too difficult – Very easy

0% 38% 63% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.6

Standardabweichung: 0.5



4.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...


Greatly – Not at all

13% 38% 50% 0% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.4

Standardabweichung: 0.7



5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?


Always – Never

71% 29% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 7

Durchschnitt: 1.3

Standardabweichung: 0.5



5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?


Always – Never

50% 13% 25% 13% 0%

Antworten: 8

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 1.1



5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?


Always – Never

57% 0% 29% 14% 0%

Antworten: 7

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 1.2



5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?


Yes – No

13% 50% 25% 13% 0%

Antworten: 8


Durchschnitt: 2.4

Standardabweichung: 0.9



6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

Most aspects

Content and this course covered wide range of computer vision topics.

we learned a lot of new things

Pretty pictures

topics covered are very interesting.

7.2 What could be improved?

Less content but more deeper in the content

Slides are poorly made and sometimes notations are not consistent.

The lectures were too fast and it should be a small 5min break in the middle

So many symbols, so few definitions :(
More labeling of the symbols would be nice I think

- lecture slides are not helpful.

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

The lecture started too early.

The slides need to be modified to make it clear and sometimes it is hard for following.

Most of the exercises were practical while the final exam would be all proofs and theoretical. We should have more theory exercises.

// This is a comment

Lecturers' Questionnaire

This part contains data provided by the lecturers.

1 Lecture metadata

Number of students in the lecture at the beginning of the semester	47
Number of students in the lecture at the end of the semester	17
Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the beginning of the semester	47
Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the end of the semester	17
Number of students that have registered for the exam	19

2 Exercise classes

Number of exercise classes	2
Average number of students per exercise class at the end of the semester	8,5

The students have been assigned to an exercise class in the following way:

Tutorienvergabesystem (TVS)

3 Helpful stuff

There has been **no** test exam.

Sample solutions for exercise tasks have been distributed.

4 Free text comments

4.1 In your opinion, what aspects of the module worked well this semester?

-

4.2 What would you change if you were to offer this module again and why?

-

4.3 In case there have been obligatory course achievements: Please judge on their effectivity regarding the learning success of the students.

The programming exercises are important to understand the algorithms discusses in the lecture.

4.4 Further remarks

-