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1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes – No</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always – Never</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.2
Standardabweichung: 0.8

1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very helpful – Not helpful</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Many – None</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 3.2
Standardabweichung: 0.8

2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate Michael Nüsken.

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Everything – Nothing</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.0
Standardabweichung: 0.7
2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...
Too fast – Too slow
Antworten: 3
Durchschnitt: 3.0
Standardabweichung: 0.8

2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?
Always – Never
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.0
Standardabweichung: 0.0

2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?
Always – Never
Antworten: 3
Durchschnitt: 2.0
Standardabweichung: 0.0

2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?
Very well – Not at all
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.0
Standardabweichung: 0.0

2.2 Please rate Prof. Dr. von zur Gathen.

2.2.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?
2.2.2 The speed of proceeding was...
2.2.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?
2.2.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?
2.2.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

3 Exercise Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

3.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?
Always – Never
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4
3.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?
Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 3.2
Standardabweichung: 0.4

3.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?
Always – Never
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.8
Standardabweichung: 0.8

3.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!
Too big – Too small
Antworten: 3
Durchschnitt: 3.0
Standardabweichung: 0.0

3.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...
Too difficult – Very easy
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

3.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...
Greatly – Not at all
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 3.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4

4 Module Evaluation
4.1 Please rate the module as a whole.
4.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?
Much – Nothing
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 1.8
Standardabweichung: 0.4
4.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.5
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?

Yes – No
Antworten: 3
Durchschnitt: 1.7
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?

Yes – No
Antworten: 3
Durchschnitt: 1.3
Standardabweichung: 0.5

4.1.5 Did your interest in this module’s field of study change?

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.0
Standardabweichung: 0.0

4.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No
Antworten: 4
Durchschnitt: 2.2
Standardabweichung: 0.8

4.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks…?

| [0,3) hours | 0 % |
| [3,6) hours | 0 % |
| [6,8) hours | 0 % |
| [8,10) hours | 75 % |
| [10,12) hours | 25 % |
| [12,∞) hours | 0 % |
5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antworten:</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>durchschnitt:</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standardabweichung:</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor’s corrections and gradings?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>durchschnitt:</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standardabweichung:</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>durchschnitt:</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standardabweichung:</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>durchschnitt:</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standardabweichung:</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

- excellent (1) 0%
- good (2) 75%
- satisfactory (3) 25%
- adequate (4) 0%
- poor (5) 0%
- very poor (6) 0%

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

Deep and specialized course on fields of security & cryptography
small group size

7.2 What could be improved?
Implementing some stuff
Taking more protocols (explain)

Maybe the lecturer could provide a script of slides previously prepared. The hand-written OHP slides often lack additional information

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.
I think the course fits in another track of the Bonn’s Computer Science. As most of the tasks require theoretical stuff, it should belong to the Algo-track.
Mandatory course achievements
50% of the possible points for the corrected exercises.

Lecturers’ Questionnaire
This part contains data provided by the lecturers.

1 Lecture metadata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of students in the lecture at the beginning of the semester</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students in the lecture at the end of the semester</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the beginning</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the end of the</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students that have registered for the exam</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Exercise classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of exercise classes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of students per exercise class at the end of the semester</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The students have been assigned to an exercise class in the following way:
Not applicable: There is only one exercise class.

3 Helpful stuff

There has been no test exam.
Sample solutions for exercise tasks have not been distributed.

4 Free text comments

4.1 In your opinion, what aspects of the module worked well this semester?
Theoretical parts worked well.
Practically oriented parts could have more emphasis.

4.2 What would you change if you were to offer this module again and why?
More emphasis on applications.

4.3 In case there have been obligatory course achievements: Please judge on their effectiveness regarding the learning success of the students.
50 % exercise credits.
Effective: fully.
4.4 Further remarks

-