

eSecurity – Michael Nüsken; Prof. Dr. von zur Gathen

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

4. September 2015

## 1 Lecture Evaluation

### 1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

#### 1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?


Always – Never

50% 50% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 1.5

Standardabweichung: 0.5



#### 1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?


Yes – No

50% 25% 25% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 1.8

Standardabweichung: 0.8



#### 1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?


Always – Never

25% 25% 50% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 2.2

Standardabweichung: 0.8



#### 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?


Very helpful – Not helpful

0% 50% 50% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 2.5

Standardabweichung: 0.5



#### 1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?


Many – None

0% 25% 25% 50% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 3.2

Standardabweichung: 0.8



## 2 Lecturer Evaluation

### 2.1 Please rate Michael Nüsken.

#### 2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?


Everything – Nothing

25% 50% 25% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.7



### 2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...


Too fast – Too slow

0% 33% 33% 33% 0%

Antworten: 3

Durchschnitt: 3.0

Standardabweichung: 0.8



### 2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?


Always – Never

0% 100% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.0



### 2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?


Always – Never

0% 100% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 3

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.0



### 2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?


Very well – Not at all

100% 0% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 1.0

Standardabweichung: 0.0



## 2.2 Please rate Prof. Dr. von zur Gathen.

### 2.2.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

### 2.2.2 The speed of proceeding was...

### 2.2.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

### 2.2.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

### 2.2.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

## 3 Exercise Evaluation

### 3.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

#### 3.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?


Always – Never

25% 75% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 1.8

Standardabweichung: 0.4



### 3.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?


Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind

0% 0% 75% 25% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 3.2

Standardabweichung: 0.4



### 3.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?


Always – Never

0% 50% 25% 25% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 2.8

Standardabweichung: 0.8



### 3.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!


Too big – Too small

0% 0% 100% 0% 0%

Antworten: 3

Durchschnitt: 3.0

Standardabweichung: 0.0



### 3.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...


Too difficult – Very easy

0% 50% 50% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 2.5

Standardabweichung: 0.5



### 3.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...


Greatly – Not at all

0% 0% 25% 75% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 3.8

Standardabweichung: 0.4



## 4 Module Evaluation

### 4.1 Please rate the module as a whole.

#### 4.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?


Much – Nothing

25% 75% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 4

Durchschnitt: 1.8


Standardabweichung: 0.4



**4.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?**

Too high – Too low


Antworten: 4  
 Durchschnitt: 2.5  
 Standardabweichung: 0.5



**4.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?**

Yes – No


Antworten: 3  
 Durchschnitt: 1.7  
 Standardabweichung: 0.5



**4.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?**

Yes – No


Antworten: 3  
 Durchschnitt: 1.3  
 Standardabweichung: 0.5



**4.1.5 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?**

Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.


Antworten: 4  
 Durchschnitt: 2.0  
 Standardabweichung: 0.0




**4.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?**

Yes – No

Antworten: 4  
 Durchschnitt: 2.2  
 Standardabweichung: 0.8



**4.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?**



## 5 Exercise Class Evaluation

### 5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

#### 5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?


Always – Never

67% 33% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 3

Durchschnitt: 1.3

Standardabweichung: 0.5



#### 5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?


Always – Never

33% 33% 33% 0% 0%

Antworten: 3

Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.8



#### 5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?


Always – Never

33% 67% 0% 0% 0%

Antworten: 3

Durchschnitt: 1.7

Standardabweichung: 0.5



#### 5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?


Yes – No

33% 33% 33% 0% 0%

Antworten: 3


Durchschnitt: 2.0

Standardabweichung: 0.8



## 6 Comprehensive Rating

### 6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



## 7 Free Text Comments

### 7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

Deep and specialized course on fields of security & cryptography

---

small group size

## **7.2 What could be improved?**

Implementing some stuff

Taking more protocols (explain)

---

Maybe the lecturer could provide a script of slides previously prepared. The hand-written OHP slides often lack additional information

## **7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.**

I think the course fits in another track of the Bonn's Computer Science. As most of the tasks require theoretical stuff, it should belong to the Algo-track.

# Mandatory course achievements

50% of the possible points for the corrected exercises.

## Lecturers' Questionnaire

This part contains data provided by the lecturers.

### 1 Lecture metadata

Number of students in the lecture at the beginning of the semester	6
Number of students in the lecture at the end of the semester	4
Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the beginning of the semester	4
Number of students participating in the exercise classes at the end of the semester	4
Number of students that have registered for the exam	4

### 2 Exercise classes

Number of exercise classes	1
Average number of students per exercise class at the end of the semester	4

The students have been assigned to an exercise class in the following way:

Not applicable: There is only one exercise class.

### 3 Helpful stuff

There has been **no** test exam.

Sample solutions for exercise tasks have **not** been distributed.

### 4 Free text comments

#### 4.1 In your opinion, what aspects of the module worked well this semester?

Theoretical parts worked well.

Practically oriented parts could have more emphasis.

#### 4.2 What would you change if you were to offer this module again and why?

More emphasis on applications.

#### 4.3 In case there have been obligatory course achievements: Please judge on their effectivity regarding the learning success of the students.

50 % exercise credits.

Effective: fully.



**4.4 Further remarks**

-