



Artificial Life – Dr. Nils Goerke

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

October 12, 2015

1 Lecture Evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's concept.

1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?


Always – Never

35% 47% 0% 12% 6%

Answers: 17

Mean: 2.1

Standard-Deviation: 1.2



1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?


Yes – No

82% 12% 6% 0% 0%

Answers: 17

Mean: 1.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?


Always – Never

50% 39% 6% 6% 0%

Answers: 18

Mean: 1.7

Standard-Deviation: 0.8



1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?


Very helpful – Not helpful

56% 39% 6% 0% 0%

Answers: 18

Mean: 1.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.6



1.1.5 Have there been topics that should have been explained more extensively?


Many – None

0% 28% 17% 44% 11%

Answers: 18

Mean: 3.4

Standard-Deviation: 1.0



2 Lecturer Evaluation

2.1 Please rate Dr. Nils Goerke .

2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?


Everything – Nothing

35% 47% 18% 0% 0%

Answers: 17

Mean: 1.8


Standard-Deviation: 0.7



2.1.2 The speed of proceeding was...

Too fast – Too slow


Answers: 18
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.5



2.1.3 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

Always – Never


Answers: 18
Mean: 1.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.6



2.1.4 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

Always – Never


Answers: 17
Mean: 1.3
Standard-Deviation: 0.5



2.1.5 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

Very well – Not at all

Answers: 18
Mean: 1.4
Standard-Deviation: 0.5




3 Exercise Evaluation

3.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

3.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never


Answers: 17
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 1.1



3.1.2 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?

Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind

Answers: 18
Mean: 2.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.5




3.1.3 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?

Always – Never

Answers: 18

Mean: 1.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.5




3.1.4 Judge the size of your exercise group!

Too big – Too small

Answers: 18

Mean: 3.1

Standard-Deviation: 0.4




3.1.5 Usually I thought the exercises were...

Too difficult – Very easy

Answers: 17

Mean: 3.1

Standard-Deviation: 0.9




3.1.6 The difficulty of the exercises varied...

Greatly – Not at all

Answers: 18

Mean: 2.7

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



4 Module Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the module as a whole.


4.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?

Much – Nothing

Answers: 18

Mean: 2.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.8




4.1.2 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low

Answers: 18

Mean: 2.8

Standard-Deviation: 0.6



4.1.3 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?


Yes – No

47% 35% 12% 0% 6%

Answers: 17

Mean: 1.8

Standard-Deviation: 1.0



4.1.4 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?


Yes – No

44% 31% 0% 19% 6%

Answers: 16

Mean: 2.1

Standard-Deviation: 1.3



4.1.5 Did your interest in this module's field of study change?


Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.

17% 28% 50% 6% 0%

Answers: 18

Mean: 2.4

Standard-Deviation: 0.8



4.1.6 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?


Yes – No

56% 33% 6% 6% 0%


Answers: 18

Mean: 1.6

Standard-Deviation: 0.8



4.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?



5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?


Always – Never

44% 44% 13% 0% 0%

Answers: 16

Mean: 1.7

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor's corrections and gradings?


Always – Never

25% 31% 44% 0% 0%

Answers: 16

Mean: 2.2

Standard-Deviation: 0.8



5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?


Always – Never

35% 47% 18% 0% 0%

Answers: 17

Mean: 1.8

Standard-Deviation: 0.7



5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?


Yes – No

47% 24% 12% 18% 0%

Answers: 17

Mean: 2.0

Standard-Deviation: 1.1



6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1)	33%	<div style="width: 33%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>
good (2)	67%	<div style="width: 67%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>
satisfactory (3)	0%	<div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>
adequate (4)	0%	<div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>
poor (5)	0%	<div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>
very poor (6)	0%	<div style="width: 0%; background-color: #cccccc;"></div>

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

No "must do" exercise sheets!

Good programming exercises.

Mostly good theoretical exercises.

- contents of lecture
- The explanation given by Prof. is excellent

- good overview about the topics in this field
- a log of examples and illustrations

7.2 What could be improved?

The exam is seemingly just memorizing which is not exactly the key idea of a university lecture.

- The exercise should be more explainable

- one slide per page would be more useful to see animation over several pages

7.3 You can leave remarks and further feedback here.

Mindblowing course. Very interesting. I would take it again.