Semantic Data Web Technologies – Prof. Dr. Sören Auer; Dr. Christoph Lange-Bever

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik


7. März2015

Abgegebene Fragebögen: 21


1 Lecture evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's presentation.

1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics



1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)



1.1.3 Clarification of topics by given examples

++	33%	
+	43%	
_	24%	
	0%	


1.1.4 Comprehensibility of the lecturer's pronounciation

++	33%	
+	62%	
_	0%	
	5%	

2 Exercise group evaluation


2.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises groups offered for the lecture

2.1.1 Repetition of the course topics




did not take place

2.1.2 Clarification of questions regarding your course




2.1.3 Application of the contents of the course



2.1.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises

++	29%	
+	43%	
_	29%	
	0%	
did not take place	0%	


2.1.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)



3 Evaluation of the course contents

3.1 Please rate the contents of the course (lecture and exercise groups)


3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the course were adequately known to me




3.1.2 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me

++	24%	
+	52%	
_	24%	
	5%	

3.1.3 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




3.1.4 The course taught helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life




4 Organisation of the course

4.1 Please rate the organisation of the course


4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well




4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out



4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups




4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,...)




4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer

++	43%	
+	43%	
_	14%	
	0%	

4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)




4.1.7 Satisfying number of exercise groups



5 Effort and complexity

5.1 Please rate the following aspects regarding effort and complexity of the course.

5.1.1 speed of the proceeding



5.1.2 amount of material to be studied

too high	48%
exactly right	52%
too low	0%





5.1.3 effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture

too high 29 exactly right 71 too low 0



5.1.4 complexity of the lecture





5.1.5 complexity of the exercises

too high	10%	
exactly right	76%	
too low	14%	

5.1.6 effort needed to solve the exercise/homework tasks




6 Comprehensive rating

6.1 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.


6.1.1 Before visiting the course

10°
71%
19
0 0




6.1.2 After visiting the course

14%	extremely interested
67%	interested
14%	rather not interested
5%	almost not interested



6.2 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellen (1) to very poor (6).

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{excellent (1)} & \text{o} \\ \text{good (2)} & 6 \\ \text{satisfactory (3)} & 2 \\ \text{adequate (4)} & 1 \\ \text{poor (5)} & 0 \\ \text{very poor (6)} & 0 \\ \end{array}$



7 Free text comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

lecture presentation terms introduced

- The concept of the Exercise groups, it helped me understand the course better

The Exercises.

The exercise class

Very interesting topic, very good explanations.

Tutor is really nice

Interesting topics

Exercises thanks to Sahar Vahdati

Lecturer stated what the contents of the exam will be Lecturer published old exams Good lecturer

The lecturer adapted the slides to current events in research. Color scheme of the slides has improved *alot* during the course.

Lecturer was nice and mostly well prepared.

Good information about the relevant topics for the exam. Nice to publish old exams to train one selfs.

7.2 What could be improved?

- too many slides to study and not all of them relevant for the exam

- Exercise sessions (answers to all uncertainty)

- The slidewiki website takes too long to open.

- The lectures are boring and without solid practical examples.

The lectures are long and more student interaction is required.

Wiki takes time to load. Slidewiki should be improved

The slides of the lecture need to be improved. Slides are not clear.

- SlideWiki is always just loading....

There's too many topics for the time given.

Slideqiki is working very slowly and the slides are not helpful.

Slides for lecture.

there are a lot of slides. It will be better to have compact slides explanation.

- the slidewiki is to slow

- there is no possibility to get the slides in PDF format

More exercise groups Less mistakes on slides Better exercise groups (Readable handwriting on the board would be nice) Less mistakes in exercises Please don't use Slidewiki or provide a usable alternative

Much time was lost on yet another theoretical discussion of the meaning of some notation. We could have done practical exercise instead.

Better preparation for the exercises is needed. SlideWiki is to slow and not fun to use, please over complete PDF slides in addition to SlideWiki.

-> exercises

7.3 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.

slidewiki slides should be on loalhost for faster processing

The lecturer and exercise presenters are eligible, more student-teacher interaction during the class would be healthy.

Less space for survey feedback but more space to review the lecture

I guess Exercises are meant for clarification. If Tutors don't care then its not good.