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1 Lecture evaluation
1.1 Please rate the lecture's presentation.

1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1.3 Clarification of topics by given examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1.4 Comprehensibility of the lecturer's pronunciation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Exercise group evaluation

2.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises groups offered for the lecture

2.1.1 Repetition of the course topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not take place</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 Clarification of questions regarding your course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not take place</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.3 Application of the contents of the course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not take place</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not take place</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not take place</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Evaluation of the course contents

3.1 Please rate the contents of the course (lecture and exercise groups)

3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the course were adequately known to me

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.3 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.4 The course taught helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++29%</th>
<th>+49%</th>
<th>-20%</th>
<th>--0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4 Organisation of the course

4.1 Please rate the organisation of the course

4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++54%</th>
<th>+37%</th>
<th>-3%</th>
<th>--0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++71%</th>
<th>+26%</th>
<th>-3%</th>
<th>--0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++60%</th>
<th>+17%</th>
<th>-9%</th>
<th>--6%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++63%</th>
<th>+37%</th>
<th>-0%</th>
<th>--0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++89%</th>
<th>+11%</th>
<th>-0%</th>
<th>--0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>++83%</th>
<th>+14%</th>
<th>-3%</th>
<th>--0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
4.1.7 Satisfying number of exercise groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>− −</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Effort and complexity

5.1 Please rate the following aspects regarding effort and complexity of the course.

5.1.1 Speed of the proceeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too high</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly right</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too low</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2 Amount of material to be studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too high</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly right</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too low</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3 Effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too high</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly right</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too low</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.4 Complexity of the lecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too high</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly right</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too low</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.5 Complexity of the exercises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too high</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly right</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too low</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.6 Effort needed to solve the exercise/homework tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too high</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exactly right</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too low</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Comprehensive rating

6.1 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.
6.1.1 Before visiting the course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>extremely interested</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interested</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rather not interested</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>almost not interested</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.2 After visiting the course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>extremely interested</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interested</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rather not interested</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>almost not interested</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellen (1) to very poor (6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>excellent (1)</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>good (2)</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satisfactory (3)</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adequate (4)</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poor (5)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very poor (6)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Free text comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

No need to read books. But it can become negative feedback also.

Exercises

1) Enthusiasm of the lecturer and tutor
2) Clear requirements

1) The enthusiasm of the Professor
2) Very good explanation
3) Useful exercises, examples, materials

Professor’s sense of humor.
The manner of leading both lecture and exercises.

No lecturer seems nice.
No mandatory exercises.
Tutor is great.

The way of explaining the concepts by the lecturer.

- The professor! Very entertaining and good to understand
- Not having to do much during Semester

- keine Anwesenheitspflicht
- zeitlich gut gelegt
- Prof. gibt sich sichtlich Mühe zu motivieren.
I like the exercise class which simplify the lecture

Talkactive professor is easy and fun to listen to. 
Lecture and exercise slides are early and easily available

The way the lecture was imparted. 
Clearness of explanations.

The Comprehensibility of the lecturer’s pronunciation. 
And the topic of the lecture.

I liked the lecturer’s way of teaching.

The professor explains really well.

The exercise questions are closely related with the theory in the lecture 
It helps me to understand the lecture better and gains my interest to the topics

The lecturer engaged extremely well with the students and often makes very smart remarks that keep students interested.

The lecturer’s enthusiasm and delivery. 
The detail at which concepts are explained.

7.2 What could be improved?

Video lecture of this course. Just sit at home and watch video lectures. 
PS: For lazy people like me ))).

Slides. Because there are some mistakes in lecture’s slides.

1) Structure of lecture slides, as well as exercises slides 
2) More homework, that will be evaluated

1) To add SQL topics to the course, as it is more useful later at work
2) Some practice on the computer, not only on paper

It says how the database work, however it does not focus on topic of intelligent system

Exercises need to be improved. inorder to have better understanding of theoretical background of the topics.

Try to end the lecture in time

The exercise group. It was too slow and ineffectiv as we didn’t have to do something. Solving the tasks in front was boring as solving it alone would be far more quick (at least I thought so). But there was no motivation to do them alone. A smaller group would be better, too. And no break for lunch-end of exercise group on 14.15 is a problem when you want to combine it with other lectures afterwards.

- freiwillige Aufgabenzettel zur Vorbereitung auf Übungen
- durch fehlende Zettel kann ich mir die Aufgaben in der Prüfung nur begrenzt vorstellen
The actual slide and the exercise slide should be included more text to explain the symbols and object for each topic.

Lecture could be sped up if examples were not presented in such excruciating detail. The wooden seats and tables in the lecture room (A120) are warped, creaky and uncomfortable.

Lectures of only 60 minutes instead of lectures of 90 mins.

All is ok.

More SQLand less DataLog?

More material could be introduced

The presentation & real-life examples in industry would be desireable also, the assignments (to be so lued in the exercise session) should be given out a week prior. So we have a chance to independently work out the problem

7.3 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.

I like the course, but thing that prevents me to name it 'excellent' is that I don’t know practical application accept of makin brain working better =). Both professor and tutor are cool.

Only 1 exercise group.

Not enough/ no good questions about the exercise groups.
+ Not enough space there (7.2) and too much here (7.2).

- mehr generalisieren, hier gab es beispielweise keine Übungszettel und nur eine Übung, die mehr oder weniger eine Diskussion war => passt nicht zum Bewertungsschema
- Kategorie Frage 1 und 4 sagen nicht wirklich viel über die Qualität der Vorlesung aus, sind bestimmt durchaus interessant, die Antworten sind allerdings so subjektiv (jeder will ja in einen anderen Bereich im "later work life"), dass sie kaum etwas aussagen

Did not attend exercises, at least not regularly. The exercise instructor was not entirely competent in the course material.