

Cryptography – Prof. Dr. Joachim von zur Gathen; PD
Dr. Adrian Spalka


Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

7. März 2015


1 Lecture evaluation

1.1 Please rate the lecture's presentation.


1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics




1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)



1.1.3 Clarification of topics by given examples




1.1.4 Comprehensibility of the lecturer's pronunciation




2 Exercise group evaluation

2.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises groups offered for the lecture


2.1.1 Repetition of the course topics




2.1.2 Clarification of questions regarding your course




2.1.3 Application of the contents of the course



2.1.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises




2.1.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)




3 Evaluation of the course contents

3.1 Please rate the contents of the course (lecture and exercise groups)


3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the course were adequately known to me




3.1.2 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me



3.1.3 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




3.1.4 The course taught helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life




4 Organisation of the course

4.1 Please rate the organisation of the course


4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well




4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out




4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups




4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,...)




4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer



4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)




4.1.7 Satisfying number of exercise groups




5 Effort and complexity

5.1 Please rate the following aspects regarding effort and complexity of the course.


5.1.1 speed of the proceeding




5.1.2 amount of material to be studied




5.1.3 effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture




5.1.4 complexity of the lecture



5.1.5 complexity of the exercises




5.1.6 effort needed to solve the exercise/homework tasks




6 Comprehensive rating

6.1 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.


6.1.1 Before visiting the course



6.1.2 After visiting the course



6.2 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



7 Free text comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

Exercises!

7.2 What could be improved?

Concept of Lecture + Exercise

Correcting the assignment sheets.

If they know that the actual lecturer is missing the next semester they could probably look for a appropriate substitute who actually teaches cryptography

The exercises should be based on techniques from the lecture. Often you need to a lot of googling.

7.3 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.

It's not clear what the exam will look like. Since you would assume it's similar to the exercises, while in this case the exercises do not seem overly correlated to the lecture.

Hence it's unclear how much lecture trivia will be needed in the exam.