Computer Vision II – Prof. Dr. Jürgen Gall

Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

22. August 2014

1 Lecture evaluation				
1.1 Please rate the lecture's presentation.				
1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the present	ted topi	cs		
++	40%			
	20%			
_	20%			
	0 %			
1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (go	olden th	read)		
++	60%			
	20%			
	0%			
	0%			
1.1.3 Clarification of topics by given e	example	s		
	20%			
	40%			
	20%			
	0%			
1.1.4 Comprehensibility of the lecture	r's pron	ounciation		
++	20%			
+	60%			
_	0%			
	0%			
2 Exercise group evaluation				
2.1 Please rate the quality of the	exercis	ses groups offered for the lecture		
2.1.1 Repetition of the course topics				
++	20%			
+	40%			
_	0 %			
	0 %			
did not take place	0 %			
2.1.2 Clarification of questions regard	ing volu	r course		
2.1.2 Clarification of questions regard	e you			

++	40%	
+	20%	
_	0%	
	0%	
did not take place	0%	

2.1.3 Application of the contents of t	he cours	Se .
++	60%	
+	0 %	
- -	0 %	
	0%	
did not take place	0%	
2.1.4 Presentation of solutions for ex-	ercises	
++	40%	
+	20%	
· -	0 %	
	0%	
did not take place	0%	
2.1.5 Preparation for the final exam ((estimati	on)
++	0%	
+	40%	
_	0%	
	0%	
did not tale place	20%	
did not take place	20 70	
3 Evaluation of the course conter 3.1 Please rate the contents of the	nts	se (lecture and exercise groups)
3 Evaluation of the course conter	nts ne cours	
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of	nts ne cours the cou	
 3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the 	nts ne cours the cou 40%	
 3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the 	nts ne cours the cou	
 3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the 	the cours 40% 40% 0%	
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + -	the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 %	
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met	the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 % thods an	rse were adequately known to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met ++	the cours 40% 40% 0% 0% thods an	rse were adequately known to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met	the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 %	rse were adequately known to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met ++	the course 40 % 40 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 % 0 %	rse were adequately known to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met ++	the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 %	rse were adequately known to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met ++	the cours the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 % 0 % 0 %	rse were adequately known to me d concepts have been imparted to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met ++	the cours the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 % 0 % 0 %	rse were adequately known to me d concepts have been imparted to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific met ++ + 3.1.3 The contents of the course had	the cours the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 % 0 % 0 % a relation	rse were adequately known to me d concepts have been imparted to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific ment ++ + + 3.1.3 The contents of the course had ++	the cours the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 % thods an 80 % 0 % 0 % a relation 80 % 20 %	rse were adequately known to me d concepts have been imparted to me
3 Evaluation of the course contents 3.1 Please rate the contents of the 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of ++ + 3.1.2 Within the course scientific ment ++ + + 3.1.3 The contents of the course had ++	1 the cours 1 the cours 2 the cours 40 % 40 % 0 % 0 % 0 % 1 thods an 80 % 0 % 0 % 0 % 1 a relation 80 %	rse were adequately known to me d concepts have been imparted to me

## 80%	3.1.4 The course taught helpful know	ledge aı	nd abilities that will be useful in later work life
# 0 %	++	80%	
4. Organisation of the course 4.1. Please rate the organisation of the course 4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well ++ 20% + 20% - 20% - 0% 4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out ++ 60% + 0% - 0% - 0% 4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups ++ 40% - 0% - 0% - 0% - 0% - 0% - 0% - 0% -			
4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well ++ 20%	_	0%	
4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well		0%	
4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well +	4 Organisation of the course		
## 20% ## 20% ## 20% ## 20% ## 60% ## 0% ## 0% ## 0% ## 40% ## 40% ## 20% ## 20% ## 40% ## 20% ## 40% ## 20% ## 40% ## 20% ## 40% ## 20% ## 40	4.1 Please rate the organisation of	of the c	ourse
## 20%	4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised ve	ery well	
4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out ++ 60 % + 0 % 0 % 0 % 0 % 4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups ++ 40 % + 20 % 0 % 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40 % + 20 % 0 % 0 % 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60 % + 20 % 0 % 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60 % + 0 % 0 % 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,)	++	20%	
4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out ++ 60% + 0% - 0% 0% 0% 4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups ++ 40% + 20% 0% 0% 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40% + 20% 0% 0% 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60% + 20% 0% 0% 0% 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60% + 0% 0% 0%	+	20%	
4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out ++ 60 % + 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 %	_	20%	
++ 60 % - 0 %		0%	
- 0% 0% 0% 0% 4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups ++ 40% + 20% 0% 0% 0% 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40% + 20% 20% 0% 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60% + 20% 0			e well-thought-out
4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups ++ 40 % + 20 % - 0 % 0 % 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40 % + 20 % - 20 % 0 % 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60 % + 20 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 20 %	+	0%	
4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups ++ 40 % + 20 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 1 0 % - 0 0 0 % - 0 0 0 % - 0 0 0 0 % - 0 0 0 0 0 % - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 % - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	0%	
++ 40 % + 20 % - 0 % 0 % 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40 % + 20 % - 20 % 0 % 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60 % + 20 % 0 % 0 % 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60 % + 0 % 20 %		0%	
+ 20% - 0% 0% 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40% + 20% - 20% 0% 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60% + 20% - 0% 0% 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60% + 0% - 20%			
- 0%			
4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40% + 20% - 20% 0% 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60% + 20% - 0% - 0% 0% 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60% + 0% - 20%	+		
4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,) ++ 40 % + 20 % - 20 % 0 % 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60 % + 20 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 0 % - 20 % 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60 % + 0 % - 20 %			
++ 40 % + 20 % - 20 % 0 % 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60 % + 20 % 0 % 0 % 0 %		3 70	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the c	ourse m	naterials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	++	40%	
4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer ++ 60 % + 20 % - 0 % 0 % 0 % 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) ++ 60 % + 0 % - 20 %	_	20%	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0%	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of	f the lec	cturer control of the
4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) $ ++ 60\% \\ + 0\% \\ - 20\% $	++	60%	
4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,) $ ++ 60\% \\ + 0\% \\ - 20\% $	+	20%	
4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website,)	_	0%	
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0%	
$egin{array}{c c} + & 0\% & \hline \\ - & 20\% & \hline \end{array}$	4.1.6 Availability of the course materi	ials (eCa	ampus, Website,)
$egin{array}{c c} + & 0\% & \hline \\ - & 20\% & \hline \end{array}$	1 1	60 ⁰ /-	
- 20%			
	_		

++	00 /0	
+	0%	
_	0%	
	0%	
5 Effort and complexity		
5.1 Please rate the following aspe	ects reg	garding effort and complexity of the course.
orr reasonate the renorming aspe	.000	garding energiand complexity of the course.
5.1.1 speed of the proceeding		
too high	60%	
exactly right	20%	
too low	0 %	
too low	0 70	
5.1.2 amount of material to be studie	∌d	
too high	40%	
exactly right	40%	
too low	0%	
5.1.3 effort for the preparation and re-	vision o	f the lecture
too high	40%	
exactly right	20%	
too low	0 %	
too low	0 70	
514 1 2 6 1 1		
5.1.4 complexity of the lecture		
too high	20%	
exactly right	60%	
too low	0%	
5.1.5 complexity of the exercises		
too high	0%	
exactly right	80 %	
too low	0 %	
200 1011	0 70	
5.1.6 effort needed to solve the exerc	ico/hom	sowerk tooks
5.1.0 effort needed to solve the exerc	ise/nom	lework lasks
too high	0%	
exactly right	60%	
too low	0%	
6 Comprehensive rating		
6.1 Places rate your interest in th	na tanis	es of the source before and after visiting the
course.	ie tobic	es of the course before and after visiting the

4.1.7 Satisfying number of exercise groups

6.1.1 B	efore	visiting	the	course
---------	-------	----------	-----	--------

extremely interested	80%	
interested	0%	
rather not interested	0%	
almost not interested	0%	

6.1.2 After visiting the course

extremely interested	80%	
interested	0%	
rather not interested	0%	
almost not interested	0%	

6.2 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellen (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1)	40%	
good(2)	20%	
satisfactory (3)	0%	
adequate (4)	0%	
poor (5)	0%	
very poor (6)	0%	

7 Free text comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

Ver comprehensive and interesting

interesting topics

Brilliant professor Programming assignment well thought out topics

7.2 What could be improved?

Taking a short break to ask students a question about the lecture material covered so far

lecture notes and not just slides

difficult topics could be explained more slowly

It would be nice to have a project at the end where a computer vision problem can be worked on in all its different phases

7.3 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.