

# Artificial Life – Dr. Nils Goerke


Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

22. August 2014


# 1 Lecture evaluation

## 1.1 Please rate the lecture's presentation.


### 1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics




### 1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)



### 1.1.3 Clarification of topics by given examples




### 1.1.4 Comprehensibility of the lecturer's pronunciation




# 2 Exercise group evaluation

## 2.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises groups offered for the lecture


### 2.1.1 Repetition of the course topics




### 2.1.2 Clarification of questions regarding your course




### 2.1.3 Application of the contents of the course



### 2.1.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises




### 2.1.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)




## 3 Evaluation of the course contents

### 3.1 Please rate the contents of the course (lecture and exercise groups)


#### 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the course were adequately known to me




#### 3.1.2 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me



#### 3.1.3 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




### 3.1.4 The course taught helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life




## 4 Organisation of the course

### 4.1 Please rate the organisation of the course


#### 4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well




#### 4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out




#### 4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups




#### 4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,...)




#### 4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer



#### 4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)




#### 4.1.7 Satisfying number of exercise groups




### 5 Effort and complexity

5.1 Please rate the following aspects regarding effort and complexity of the course.


#### 5.1.1 speed of the proceeding




#### 5.1.2 amount of material to be studied




#### 5.1.3 effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture




#### 5.1.4 complexity of the lecture



#### 5.1.5 complexity of the exercises




#### 5.1.6 effort needed to solve the exercise/homework tasks




### 6 Comprehensive rating

6.1 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.


### 6.1.1 Before visiting the course



### 6.1.2 After visiting the course



### 6.2 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellen (1) to very poor (6).



## 7 Free text comments

### 7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

I didnt always know, what relation the topics had with Artificial life

slides were ok  
good course name :)

Delivery of lecture by professor is really good

the way the lecturer of the course taught it. He demonstrated a complete knowlodge of each the contents. The tutorial was good to have an idea of how the exam would be like

Programming Assignements

a lot of examples were given

clear explanation of selected topics

programming assignement

Workload is really appropriated, the topics were presented in on interseting and memorizable

Artificial life in general is interesting, the slides were fairly helpful.

Exercises were pretty good, as contents of the lecture were presented well

Almost everything!

at the end when we started to solve optimization problem... thas was the most interesting for me.

---

i liked the topics presented since i had no knowledge of them. Furthermore, the professor is very Helpful and willing to explain everythin.  
Everything was perfect! :)

## 7.2 What could be improved?

Exercises shouldnt be mandatory

---

exercises shouldnt be mandratory, you have to go even you have the points

---

English pronunciation  
stupid rules of going mondatory to exercises like a military school  
too early scheduled

---

Presentation of solutions in exercises group.  
Or checking of the solution of exercise is very strickt and sometimes not enough explanation is given while cuttin the points

---

Maybe less workload. It was supposed to be 180 hours but we spent more time on it

---

A spell-checker tool should be run on the lecture slides and assignements

---

The lecturer was too strict with attendance, missing the exercise was allowed only once.  
He said the tutors would have to learn our handwriting to always test the person who did not write the solution. He only read what was on the slides, mostly. Organization was bad.

---

More focus on the content in the lecture opposed to le massive use of examples

---

no more mondatory exercise

## 7.3 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.

I think the survey is good in general, but is not enough

---

should use a 5 point like scale like ++/+/o/-/- instead of 4 point scale

---

maybe we can submit assignements by email?