

Algorithmic Game Theory and the Internet – Prof. Dr.  
Norbert Blum


Lecture Survey – Fachschaft Informatik

22. August 2014


## 1 Lecture evaluation

### 1.1 Please rate the lecture's presentation.


#### 1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics




#### 1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)



#### 1.1.3 Clarification of topics by given examples




#### 1.1.4 Comprehensibility of the lecturer's pronunciation




## 2 Exercise group evaluation

### 2.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises groups offered for the lecture


#### 2.1.1 Repetition of the course topics




#### 2.1.2 Clarification of questions regarding your course




### 2.1.3 Application of the contents of the course



### 2.1.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises




### 2.1.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)




## 3 Evaluation of the course contents

### 3.1 Please rate the contents of the course (lecture and exercise groups)


#### 3.1.1 The preconditioned contents of the course were adequately known to me




#### 3.1.2 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me



#### 3.1.3 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




**3.1.4 The course taught helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life**




**4 Organisation of the course**

**4.1 Please rate the organisation of the course**


**4.1.1 The exercises were verbalised very well**




**4.1.2 The concept of the course seemed to be well-thought-out**




**4.1.3 Allocation of the exercise groups**




**4.1.4 Quality and helpfulness of the course materials (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes,...)**







**4.1.5 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer**



**4.1.6 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)**






#### 4.1.7 Satisfying number of exercise groups

++	100 %	
+	0 %	
-	0 %	
--	0 %	




### 5 Effort and complexity

5.1 Please rate the following aspects regarding effort and complexity of the course.




#### 5.1.1 speed of the proceeding

too high	0 %	
exactly right	100 %	
too low	0 %	




#### 5.1.2 amount of material to be studied

too high	0 %	
exactly right	100 %	
too low	0 %	




#### 5.1.3 effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture

too high	0 %	
exactly right	100 %	
too low	0 %	




#### 5.1.4 complexity of the lecture

too high	0 %	
exactly right	100 %	
too low	0 %	

#### 5.1.5 complexity of the exercises

too high	0 %	
exactly right	75 %	
too low	25 %	


#### 5.1.6 effort needed to solve the exercise/homework tasks

too high	25 %	
exactly right	50 %	
too low	25 %	


### 6 Comprehensive rating

6.1 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.


### 6.1.1 Before visiting the course



### 6.1.2 After visiting the course



### 6.2 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



## 7 Free text comments

### 7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

very interesting topic, nicely blended with computer science and algorithmics.

### 7.2 What could be improved?

not much connection to the internet. Though interesting.

### 7.3 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey [here](#).