Advanced Topics in Artificial Intelligence: Semantic Web Technologies

Prof. Dr. Sören Auer

7. Mai 2014

1 Multiple Choice

## 1.1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.

1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics

++	25 %	
+	75 %	
−	0 %	
− −	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)

++	17 %	
+	75 %	
−	8 %	
− −	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.1.3 Quality of the course material (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes, . . .)

++	33 %	
+	50 %	
−	17 %	
− −	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.1.4 Usefulness of the course material to prepare or review the presented topics

++	42 %	
+	42 %	
−	8 %	
− −	0 %	
N/A*	8 %	

1.1.5 Clarification of topics by given examples

++	33 %	
+	42 %	
−	25 %	
− −	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.1.6 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer

++	67 %	
+	25 %	
−	8 %	
− −	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.2 Please rate the organisation of the course.

1.2.1 Allocation of the exercise groups

++	8 %	
+	50 %	
−	17 %	
−−	17 %	
N/A*	8 %	

1.2.2 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, . . .)

++	42 %	
+	58 %	
−	0 %	
−−	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.2.3 Satisfying number of exercise groups

++	17 %	
+	42 %	
−	33 %	
−−	0 %	
N/A*	8 %	

## 1.3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.

1.3.1 The organisation of the course seemed to be well-thought-out

++	17 %	
+	58 %	
−	17 %	
−−	0 %	
N/A*	8 %	

1.3.2 The exercises/homework tasks were verbalised very well

|		
++	17 %	
+	58 %	
−	0 %	
−−	8 %	
N/A*	17 %	

1.3.3 The preconditioned contents of this course were adequately known to me

++	33 %	▆▆▆▆▆
+	42 %	▆▆▆▆▆▆
−	8 %	▆
− −	0 %	
N/A*	17 %	▆▆

1.3.4 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me

++	33 %	▆▆▆▆▆
+	25 %	▆▆▆
−	33 %	▆▆▆▆▆
− −	0 %	
N/A*	8 %	▆

1.3.5 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems

++	33 %	▆▆▆▆▆
+	42 %	▆▆▆▆▆▆
−	17 %	▆▆
− −	8 %	▆
N/A*	0 %	

1.3.6 In this course I have been taught helpful knowledge and abilities which I can use in my later work life

++	42 %	▆▆▆▆▆▆
+	25 %	▆▆▆
−	25 %	▆▆▆
− −	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.

1.4.1 The speed of the proceeding was...

too high	0 %	
exactly right	100 %	▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆
too low	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.4.2 The amount of material to be studied was...

too high	8 %	▆
exactly right	92 %	▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆▆
too low	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.4.3 The complexity of the lecture was...

too high	8 %	
exactly right	92 %	
too low	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.4.4 The complexity of the exercise was...

too high	0 %	
exactly right	67 %	
too low	0 %	
N/A*	25 %	

1.4.5 The effort needed to solve ther exercises/homework tasks was...

too high	0 %	
exactly right	67 %	
too low	0 %	
N/A*	25 %	

1.4.6 The effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture was...

too high	0 %	
exactly right	75 %	
too low	0 %	
N/A*	17 %	

1.5 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.

1.5.1 The lecture room was...

too big	0 %	
appropriate	100 %	
too small	0 %	
N/A*	0 %	

1.5.2 The exercise room was...

too big	0 %	
appropriate	83 %	
too small	0 %	
N/A*	17 %	

1.5.3 The size of the exercise group was...

|		
too big	0 %	
appropriate	83 %	
too small	0 %	
N/A*	17 %	

1.6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.

1.6.1 Repetition of the course topics

++	8 %	
+	67 %	
–	0 %	
– –	0 %	
N/A*	25 %	

1.6.2 Clarification of questions regarding the course

++	17 %	
+	50 %	
–	0 %	
– –	0 %	
N/A*	33 %	

1.6.3 Application of the contents of the course

++	17 %	
+	50 %	
–	0 %	
– –	0 %	
N/A*	33 %	

1.6.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises

++	17 %	
+	42 %	
–	8 %	
– –	0 %	
N/A*	33 %	

1.6.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)

++	25 %	
+	42 %	
–	8 %	
– –	0 %	
N/A*	25 %	

1.7 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before visiting the course.

Extremely interested – Almost not interested	25 %	42 %	33 %	0 %	0 %

Antworten: 12
Durchschnitt: 2.1
Standardabweichung: 0.8

**1.8 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course after visiting the course.**

Extremely interested – Almost not interested   18 %   46 %   27 %   9 %   0 %

Antworten: 11
Durchschnitt: 2.3
Standardabweichung: 0.9

```
1       2       3       4       5
```

1.9 please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent(1) to very poor(6).

excellent (1)	17 %
good (2)	58 %
satisfactory (3)	25 %
adequate (4)	0 %
poor (5)	0 %
very poor (6)	0 %

1.10 Which things of the course did you like?

- Old exams given
- MC questions given
- Prof. student friendly!

Exercises were very informative and interesting

- Professors teaching
- Comprehensive explanation

Covered wide variety of semantic technologies.

1.11 What could be improved?

The slide should be more elaborative.

- Title of lecture (Advanced Topics of Artificial Intelligence ...) does not fit (compared to ¨normal¨ artificial intelligence).
- Prof. a bit chaotic.
- SlideWiki often down/extremely slow!

General background. Bonn has never taught the subject before and most students don't know what semantic web is. This was not explained very well in lectures. It would be good to start each new topic with examples of its usefulness.

- Slides
- Content was abstract a bit

Don't use eCampus. Details about specifications should not be part of the exam. Since these would normally been looked up when needed.

- time (not so early)
- time of exercise groups (Fr. 18:00)

PDF version of slides

1.12 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.

It was just optimal.

maybe some questions about the language skills of the lecturer! (-> English skills)

Nice course, excellent professor

a rating between + and - would be useful.