

High Performance Networking


Dr. Markus Esch, Prof. Dr. Peter Martini

7. Mai 2014


1 Multiple Choice

1.1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.


1.1.1 Comprehensibility of the presented topics




1.1.2 Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)




1.1.3 Quality of the course material (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes, ...)




1.1.4 Usefulness of the course material to prepare or review the presented topics



1.1.5 Clarification of topics by given examples




1.1.6 Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer




1.2 Please rate the organisation of the course.


1.2.1 Allocation of the exercise groups



1.2.2 Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)




1.2.3 Satisfying number of exercise groups




1.3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.


1.3.1 The organisation of the course seemed to be well-thought-out




1.3.2 The exercises/homework tasks were verbalised very well




1.3.3 The preconditioned contents of this course were adequately known to me




1.3.4 Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me



1.3.5 The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




1.3.6 In this course I have been taught helpful knowledge and abilities which I can use in my later work life




1.4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.


1.4.1 The speed of the proceeding was...




1.4.2 The amount of material to be studied was...




1.4.3 The complexity of the lecture was...




1.4.4 The complexity of the exercise was...



1.4.5 The effort needed to solve their exercises/homework tasks was...




1.4.6 The effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture was...




1.5 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.


1.5.1 The lecture room was...



1.5.2 The exercise room was...




1.5.3 The size of the exercise group was...




1.6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.


1.6.1 Repetition of the course topics




1.6.2 Clarification of questions regarding the course




1.6.3 Application of the contents of the course



1.6.4 Presentation of solutions for exercises



1.6.5 Preparation for the final exam (estimation)




1.7 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before visiting the course.

Extremely interested – Almost not interested 47% 37% 16% 0% 0%

Antworten: 19

Durchschnitt: 1.7

Standardabweichung: 0.7




1.8 Please rate your interest in the topics of the course after visiting the course.

Extremely interested – Almost not interested 42% 53% 5% 0% 0%


Antworten: 19

Durchschnitt: 1.6

Standardabweichung: 0.6



1.9 please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent(1) to very poor(6).



1.10 Which things of the course did you like?

Knowledge about practical problem's solutions.

The practical assignments gave a broader insight into the course

The content is really interesting & close to real world problems

Good practical assignment

Lecture explanations

Practical assignments were very useful and interesting

-
- Practical assignment
 - Funny slides

The practical exercises

-
- Practical assignments were much better and useful than last year.
 - Topics which were taught

The materials are very detailed and the lecturer explains well

-
- Content of the course is very interesting, useful.
 - The ability of the lecturer to explain everything in a very clear manner
 - Different knowledge background of students were taken into account

Until before P2P every thing was so interesting.

-
- Teacher
 - material

useful knowledge and practical assignments

1.11 What could be improved?

Way of teaching can be more interesting.

- The contents are too big for 6 credit as it consumes lot of time to study for exam & for practical. Please make it a 9 credit course.
 - too much to study for the exam.
-

More notes on the lecture slides

- Please comment all slides
 - Please give more information on what to expect in the exam
 - Please do not only present solutions but make them available to students (if you want use special password for each solution)
-

The time duration of 2.5 hours is too high. Please reduce it.

- Slides of content included some mistakes
-

The exercises should be more and one reviewing lecture should be given.

Please put the slides of the exercises in the website also (the solutions I mean). The texts in the slides was so low.

given textbook

Add more industrial techniques like Hadoop, GFS etc.
More comments on slide which help for review.

1.12 You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey [here](#).

Suppose to teach high performance networking, but covered that in 3 lectures and taught distributed computing. The course title does not fit the content.

Before christmas break the speed was low and most part has been taught after that break. So I think I can not prepare for exam completely and I will go for second try.