

SS 2013

# Network Security


PROF. DR. PETER MARTINI; DR. JENS TÖLLE

Average grade: 2.2


Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 13

- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 12
- Diploma: 0
- Lectureship: 0
- Minor subject: 0
- FFF: 0


## 1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.




## 2 Please rate the organisation of the course.




## 3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.




4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.




5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?




**6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.**




**7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.**



**8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.**



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



## 10 Comments

Which things of the course did you like?	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.
The course starts by easy, introductory topics, then goes by touching important, harder topics in network security.	Oral exam isn't good for some students, like me. In oral exams the grade changes according to estimation of instructor about the knowledge of student	
- the relation to practical issues, - the examples, - the topics	- the slides of chapter 5,6,7 are significantly more than the others, its hard to understand them even when attending the lecture, - two new chapters in the lexture 4 days before the exam is just not fair	
	slides: more text, less pictures	
practical assignments	more information about implementing PAs. More PAs.	
see lab tasks (-> pratical knowledge)	some exercise task were too in-depth; espacially the first round AES task	