

SS 2013

Intelligent Learning and Analysis Systems: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery


PROF. DR. STEFAN WROBEL

Average grade: 2.3






Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 28

- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 27
- Diploma: 0
- Lectureship: 0
- Minor subject: 1
- FFF: 0









1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.




2 Please rate the organisation of the course.




3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.




4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.




5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?




6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.




7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.



8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



10 Comments

Which things of the course did you like?	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.
		It's difficult to give feedback for a course taught by 2 or more lecturers. As again in this, e.g. articulation and pronunciation of one lecturer was very good if was bad of the other lecturer
	Please give sample solutions of the exercises to the tutors! Some exercise meetings were really terrible because the tutors themselves had no solutions. The slides could use improvements, some terms are never explained, algorithms are sometimes incomplete	
	Prove things. Quality (Runtime, math Characterization of result)	
Many interesting topics, useful. Of course midterm is a good preparation to the final exam		
Exercises are some time too hard	More interactive example in the slides	i am glad that i got the option to give my feedback. I appreciate the concept.
the lectures taught by the Prof. Dr. Wrobel; The Topics of the lecture	The slides are not so clear. The number of credits is too low for the quantity of topics and effect	
topics; Data Mining algorithms; Dr Wrobels explanations	Wrobel is a good teacher, but Howarth is not so good and I have to study more than usual because I didnt understand what he is trying to explain; To many informations in each lecture, I think this is a lecture of 9 credits, not 6	
	Noo midterm exam; smaller exercise groups	
Practical applicability and research/work opportunities	More practical assignments would be better	
	Lot's of mistakes in the script! Sometimes missing formalism!!	

exercises	tutorials; more practical exercises, using specialised DM software	
the topics are very useful for the professional exercise of informatics. The Contents of the lecture are interesting and cutting-edge. you learn a lot in this lecture	The contents of the lecture are excessive and way too complicated for a 9 credits course. This lecture should be a 9 credits. Otherwise, an introductory course for students with no background should be offered. The amount of time required to prepare the exercises-lecture was excessive, probably due to lack of knowledge of our part on which case pre-negs should have been better assessed.	Reconsider the prerequisites, amount of credits, contents of the course
Relation to real problems; examples provided for algorithms	the slides by Prof. Wrobel: the order seems to be wrong: elementary notions are used in the first lecture but introduced in the second	