

SS 2013

Grundlagen der Algorithmischen Geometrie

PROF. DR. ROLF KLEIN


Average grade: 1.6

Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 15


- Bachelor: 9
- Master: 0
- Diploma: 2
- Lectureship: 3
- Minor subject: 1
- FFF: 0

1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.


Comprehensibility of the presented topics




Structural ordering of topics (golden thread)




Quality of the course material (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes, ...)




Usefulness of the course material to prepare or review the presented topics




Clarification of topics by giving examples




Articulation and pronunciation of the lecturer







Competence and knowledge of the lecturer











Commitment and enthusiasm of the lecturer




2 Please rate the organisation of the course.




3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.




4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.




5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?




6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.




7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.



8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



10 Comments

Which things of the course did you like?	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.
/	/	/
motivierter Dozent, erklärt gut	Script zur Vorlesung fehlte. Tempo zu hoch zum gleichzeitigen Mitschreiben und Mitdenken; zu dynamisches Tafelbild	
Dozent hat Vorlesung auf Publikum bezogen, uns mit einbezogen, ansprechender Lebhafter Vortragsstil	teilweise Grenze zwischen Anschauung und praktischer Umsetzung etwas schwammig, manchmal nicht ganz klar, wie bestimmte Dinge geeignet ausgewählt werden, etc.	
auch aktuelle Forschungsergebnisse; interessante Themen; motivierter Dozent; auch Darstellung von Problemen, die in der Praxis auftreten können, die in der Theorie nicht unbedingt berücksichtigt werden		Tutorenevaluation, Dozentenevaluation (geht gut auf Fragen ein, etc); neutrale Wertung zu "Großes Interesse" und "geringes Interesse" einfügen
eingagieter und motivierter Dozent und Tutoren		
Die sehr stringente Beweisführung sowie Ausblicke; grobe Einführung in viele unterschiedliche Themen	zum Teil etwas zerstückelt.	