SS 2013

Einführung in die Computergraphik und Visualisierung

Prof. Dr. Reinhard Klein

Average grade: 3.2

Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 5

• Bachelor: 4

• Master: 0


• Diploma: 1


• Lectureship: 0


• Minor subject: 0


• FFF: 0


1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.















Clarification of topics by giving examples









2 Please rate the organisation of the course.







Availability of the course materials (eCampus, Website, ...)




Assistance outside of the course/exercise



Satisfying number of exercise groups




Flexible scheduling of the exercise groups




3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.


The organisation of the course seemed to be well-thought-ou




The exercises/homework tasks were verbalised very we




The preconditioned contents of this couse were adequately known




The contents of this course matched the goals given in the module description




Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me




The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




In this course I have been taught helpful knowledge and abilities which I can use in my later work life




This course boosted my interest in this area of studies



4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.



5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?



6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.

Repetition of the course topics

3

9

9


1


1


1

0


N/A








7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.






8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.

Before visiting the course


3

N

Extremely Very Somewhat Almost not interested interested interested interested N/A



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



10 Comments

Which things of the course did you	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feed-
like?		back to our survey here.
der Prof. trug seine Veranstaltung mit	Etws weniger Tempo von Seiten des	
großen Engagement vor; Er konnte für	Profs; Lösungen während der Übung	
seine Sache begeistern; Die Aufgaben	bereitstellen	
waren Praxis bezogen und haben Spaß		
gemacht		
Erklärung des Dozenten	Skript: mehr Text und Erklärungen;	Schulnote eher in Richtung "mangel-
	konsistener Stil (-> LaTeX); Fehler	haft", aber wegen "Erklärungsqualität"
	beheben; Übungsblätter: verständlicher	des Dozenten doch auf "ausreichend"
	formulieren; "etwas" leichter machen	gesetzt; Skript katastrophal
	Folien von grundauf erneuern	
Engagement des Dozenten; "ad-hoc"-	Folien vor der Vorlesung online, damit	
Beispiel & Erklärungen an der TAfel	man sich Notizen auf den Folien machen	
	kann.	
Vorlesung+Inhalte Folien; theoretische	Übungs Konzept nicht stimmig. vor al-	zu Übung: Tutoren waren nett und be-
Aufgaben waren OK.	len Dingen programmier aufgaben.	müht, das hat aber leider nicht ge-
		reicht. Aufgaben unverständlich, Fra-
		mework fehlerhaft, Lösungen wurden
		nicht (kaum) präsentiert => es fehlt ein
		Konzept; Übungsraum ungeeignet.