SS 2013

Dynamically Reconfigurable Systems

Prof. Dr. Joachim Klaus Anlauf

Average grade: 2

Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 13

• Bachelor: 0

• Master: 12


 \bullet Diploma: 0


• Lectureship: 0


• Minor subject: 0


• FFF: 0


1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.

























2 Please rate the organisation of the course.

















3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.


The organisation of the course seemed to be well-thought-out









The preconditioned contents of this couse were adequately known




The contents of this course matched the goals given in the module description




Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me




The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




In this course I have been taught helpful knowledge and abilities which I can use in my later work life




This course boosted my interest in this area of studies




4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.




5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?



6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.

Presentation of solutions for exercises



Preparation for the final exam (estimation)

7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.

8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.

Before visiting the course

6


9

9


1

1

Extremely Very Somewhat Almost not interested interested interested interested N/A



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



10 Comments

Which things of the course did you	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feed-
like?		back to our survey here.
Interestive example was missing some-	Need more example.	
time.		
Interesting material; Well-presented;	Less frequently have ambigous/bad	
Good, descriptive, complete lecturen	exercise questions. Provide solutions	
notes (very important); Some practical	to the exercises (properires); Provide	
programming experience	past/test exam for revision purposes;	
	Stay within the traing of the lecture	
	slots a bit more	
1. C L B; 2. P L A; 3. L U T		Good lecture for Embedded System
		Students.
all fine	no clue	
Topics of the lecture.		Teacher's knowledge is good, but the
		Exercises given ave much different and
		tough then thought in lecture.
practical orientation to working with		
FPGAs. exercise tutor was really good.		
the professor's explanations were cle-	exercise solutions should be available	
ar and the spead was good; English is	online	
good!		
The practical part of the course	The slides has too much information,	
	some of it is no relevant, like all the spe-	
	cifications of the fpga, and some topics	
	that wasn't teached in the course.	
pragnaming.	The classroom was too hot! please show	
	video to understand subjects better.	
	and more excursice should be done. and	
	better books.	