

SS 2013

Intelligent Learning and Analysis Systems: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery


PROF. DR. STEFAN WROBEL

Durchschnittsnote: 2.3






Teilnehmer (ausgewertete Fragebögen): 28

- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 27
- Diplom: 0
- Lehramt: 0
- Nebenfach: 1
- FFF: 0









1 Bitte beurteile die Gestaltung der Veranstaltung durch die Dozentin / den Dozenten.




2 Bitte beurteile die Organisation der Veranstaltung.




3 Bitte beurteile, inwiefern die folgenden Aussagen deiner Meinung nach zutreffen oder nicht zutreffen.



4 Bitte schätze den Aufwand und die Schwierigkeit der Veranstaltung ein.




5 Wie viele Stunden hast du durchschnittlich pro Woche insgesamt (inklusive dem Besuch der Vorlesung / Übung) für die Veranstaltung aufgewendet?




6 Bitte beurteile, inwiefern die Übungen zu dieser Lehrveranstaltung zum Verständnis der Veranstaltungsinhalte beigetragen haben.


Nachbereitung des Stoffes der Veranstaltung




Klärung von Fragen zur Veranstaltung




Anwendung der Inhalte aus der Veranstaltung



Präsentation von Lösungen für Übungs-/Hausaufgaben




Vorbereitung auf die Prüfung (nach bisheriger Einschätzung)




7 Wie beurteilst du die Raum- und Gruppengröße?


Der Vorlesungsraum (Hörsaal) war ...



Der Übungs-/Seminarraum war ...




Die Größe der Übungsgruppen war ...




8 Welches Interesse an den Inhalten hattest du vor und nach dem Besuch der Veranstaltung?


Vor dem Besuch der Veranstaltung



Nach dem Besuch der Veranstaltung



9 Bitte bewerte die Lehrveranstaltung insgesamt auf einer Schulnotenskala von sehr gut (1) bis ungenügend (6).



10 Freitextkommentare

Was hat dir an dieser Lehrveranstaltung gut gefallen?	Was könnte noch besser gemacht werden?	Hier hast du Platz für weitere Anmerkungen und Feedback zu unserem Fragebogen.
		It's difficult to give feedback for a course taught by 2 or more lecturers. As again in this, e.g. articulation and pronunciation of one lecturer was very good if was bad of the other lecturer
	Please give sample solutions of the exercises to the tutors! Some exercise meetings were really terrible because the tutors themselves had no solutions. The slides could use improvements, some terms are never explained, algorithms are sometimes incomplete	
	Prove things. Quality (Runtime, math Characterization of result)	
Many interesting topics, useful. Of course midterm is a good preparation to the final exam		
Exercises are some time too hard	More interactive example in the slides	i am glad that i got the option to give my feedback. I appreciate the concept.
the lectures taught by the Prof. Dr. Wrobel; The Topics of the lecture	The slides are not so clear. The number of credits is too low for the quantity of topics and effect	
topics; Data Mining algorithms; Dr Wrobels explanations	Wrobel is a good teacher, but Howarth is not so good and I have to study more than usual because I didn't understand what he is trying to explain; Too many informations in each lecture, I think this is a lecture of 9 credits, not 6	
	Noo midterm exam; smaller exercise groups	
Practical applicability and research/work opportunities	More practical assignments would be better	

	Lot's of mistakes in the script! Sometimes missing formalism!!	
exercises	tutorials; more practical exercises, using specialised DM software	
the topics are very useful for the professional exercise of informatics. The Contents of the lecture are interesting and cutting-edge. you learn a lot in this lecture	The contents of the lecture are excessive and way too complicated for a credits course. This lecture should be a 9 credits. Otherwise, an introductory course for students with no background should be offered. The amount of time required to prepare the exercises-lecture was excessive, probably due to lack of knowledge of our part on which case pre-negs should have been better assessed.	Reconsider the prerequisites, amount of credits, contents of the course
Relation to real problems; examples provided for algorithms	the slides by Prof. Wrobel: the order seems to be wrong: elementary notions are used in the first lecture but introduced in the second	