WS 2012/2013

Introduction to Sensor Data Fusion - Methods and Applications


DR. WOLFGANG KOCH, PD; PROF. DR. PETER MARTINI


Average grade: 1.8


Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 6


- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 6
- Diploma: 0
- Lectureship: 0
- Minor subject: 0
- FFF: 0

1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.












Competence and knowledge of the lecturer










1


2 Please rate the organisation of the course.








Assistance outside of the course/exercise






3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.


N/A

The organisation of the course seemed to be well-thought-out

+


++






The exercises/homework tasks were verbalised very well


The contents of this course matched the goals given in the module description




Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me




ب م




In this course I have been taught helpful knowledge and abilities which I can use in my later work life






The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems




This course boosted my interest in this area of studies


2



4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.


5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?






6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.


7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.



8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



10 Comments

Which things of the course did you	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feed-
like?		back to our survey here.
The enthusiasm of the lecturer was con-	1. Sometimes the number of formulas	
tagious.	on the slides was overwhelming. (Even	
	if they were not hard by itself, their	
	sheer number was too much.) 2. Page	
	numbers on the slides would be a great	
	improvement for taking notes. 3. Obli-	
	gatory weekly exercise sheets which had	
	to be handed in and get graded would	
	be great.	
The enthusiasm of the lecturer was on	More information regarding the exerci-	
of the things that make you enjoy lectu-	ses: we always had to check the website	
res. Also, the commitment of the lectur-	to see if there is a new assignment to	
er is a plus for this lecture. The lecturer	be done. It would be an improvement if	
always made sure that everyone under-	the lecturer would give more informati-	
stood what he was teaching.	on regarding the exercises.	
The lecturer really seemed to like giving	The organization of the exercises was	
the lecture. the "lecture notes" are really	a bit confusing in the beginning. The-	
good	re weren't really ecercises, just two pro-	
	gramming tasks for exam admission.	
- Swerling model - Expected maximiza-		
tion		
Detection Part. Introducing new condi-	talking about weakness of algorithm al-	allow students to have access to insti-
tions like a fix plan or signals strength	so can help to find the way to continue	tutes library because the uni-library is
were interesting.	this field of research	quite poor in this area.