

WS 2012/2013

# Introduction to Sensor Data Fusion - Methods and Applications


DR. WOLFGANG KOCH, PD; PROF. DR. PETER MARTINI

Average grade: 1.8


Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 6

- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 6
- Diploma: 0
- Lectureship: 0
- Minor subject: 0
- FFF: 0


## 1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.




## 2 Please rate the organisation of the course.




## 3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.




4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.




5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?




**6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.**




**7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.**



**8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.**



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



10 Comments

Which things of the course did you like?	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feedback to our survey here.
The enthusiasm of the lecturer was contagious.	1. Sometimes the number of formulas on the slides was overwhelming. (Even if they were not hard by itself, their sheer number was too much.) 2. Page numbers on the slides would be a great improvement for taking notes. 3. Obligatory weekly exercise sheets which had to be handed in and get graded would be great.	
The enthusiasm of the lecturer was on of the things that make you enjoy lectures. Also, the commitment of the lecturer is a plus for this lecture. The lecturer always made sure that everyone understood what he was teaching.	More information regarding the exercises: we always had to check the website to see if there is a new assignment to be done. It would be an improvement if the lecturer would give more information regarding the exercises.	
The lecturer really seemed to like giving the lecture. the "lecture notes" are really good	The organization of the exercises was a bit confusing in the beginning. There weren't really exercises, just two programming tasks for exam admission.	
- Swerling model - Expected maximization		
Detection Part. Introducing new conditions like a fix plan or signals strength were interesting.	talking about weakness of algorithm also can help to find the way to continue this field of research	allow students to have access to institutes library because the uni-library is quite poor in this area.