# WS 2012/2013

# Probabilistic Graphical Models: Inference and Learning


Dr. Rer. nat. Kristian Kersting, Jun.-Prof.; Prof. Dr. Stefan Wrobel


## Average grade: 2


Participants (evaluated survey sheets): 4

- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 1
- Diploma: 1
- Lectureship: 0
- Minor subject: 2
- FFF: 0


## 1 Please rate the quality of the lecturer's teaching.











Quality of the course material (slides, exercise sheets, lecture notes, ...)















## 2 Please rate the organisation of the course.












Satisfying number of exercise groups




Flexible scheduling of the exercise groups

#### 3 Please rate how the following statements fit your opinion.







#### The exercises/homework tasks were verbalised very we




The preconditioned contents of this couse were adequately known to me




The contents of this course matched the goals given in the module description




Within the course scientific methods and concepts have been imparted to me




The contents of the course had a relation to practical problems



In this course I have been taught helpful knowledge and abilities which I can use in my later work life




This course boosted my interest in this area of studies




### 4 Please estimate the effort and complexity of this course.


The speed of the proceeding was ...




The amount of material to be studied was ...




The complexity of the lecture was ...




The complexity of the exercises was




The effort needed to solve the exercises/homework tasks was




The effort for the preparation and revision of the lecture was ...





5 How many hours per week did you spend on this lecture (including the visit of the lecture and exercise groups) on average?





6 Please assess the value of the exercise groups to help understanding the presented topics.













Preparation for the final exam (estimation)


7 Please rank the size of the rooms and exercise groups.



8 Please compare your interest in the topics of the course before and after visiting the course.



9 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).



#### 10 Comments

Which things of the course did you	What could be improved?	You can leave remarks and feed-
like?		back to our survey here.
	Make exercises more helpful for under-	
	standing course topics. Introduce pro-	
	gramming assignments.	

	Sometimes the notation in the slides	
	were not clear.	
- good atmosphere - good overview	sometimes there could be more details.	
	sometimes the notation and setting is	
	not clear	
		Mr. Kersting gives an overview of the
		topic of PGM, but sometimes Kings are
		arise out of the blue. Überarbeitung des
		Übungstbetriebes empfinde ich als hilf-
		reich.