

WS 2012/2013

High Performance Networking


DR. RER. NAT. MATTHIAS FRANK, AOR

Durchschnittsnote: 2.2






Teilnehmer (ausgewertete Fragebögen): 18

- Bachelor: 0
- Master: 18
- Diplom: 0
- Lehramt: 0
- Nebenfach: 0
- FFF: 0









1 Bitte beurteile die Gestaltung der Veranstaltung durch die Dozentin / den Dozenten.




2 Bitte beurteile die Organisation der Veranstaltung.




3 Bitte beurteile, inwiefern die folgenden Aussagen deiner Meinung nach zutreffen oder nicht zutreffen.








4 Bitte schätze den Aufwand und die Schwierigkeit der Veranstaltung ein.






5 Wie viele Stunden hast du durchschnittlich pro Woche insgesamt (inklusive dem Besuch der Vorlesung / Übung) für die Veranstaltung aufgewendet?





6 Bitte beurteile, inwiefern die Übungen zu dieser Lehrveranstaltung zum Verständnis der Veranstaltungsinhalte beigetragen haben.




7 Wie beurteilst du die Raum- und Gruppengröße?



8 Welches Interesse an den Inhalten hattest du vor und nach dem Besuch der Veranstaltung?



9 Bitte bewerte die Lehrveranstaltung insgesamt auf einer Schulnotenskala von sehr gut (1) bis ungenügend (6).



10 Freitextkommentare

Was hat dir an dieser Lehrveranstaltung gut gefallen?	Was könnte noch besser gemacht werden?	Hier hast du Platz für weitere Anmerkungen und Feedback zu unserem Fragebogen.
	It seemed that changing the lecturere some times was caused to give this lecturer some practical skills in presentation, even if he was not very confident with the content	
The diversity of the topics presented was very good, many useful things were covered, the theoretical sheets helped deepen the knowledge and research the topics very well which according to me is far more valuable than only learning the lecture slides by heart or on the level of superficial understanding.	The practical sheets could be more in number, but less extensive. Some of the homeworks given as theoretical excercises could be transformed to the practical sheets. Also I would appreciate more help from the teaching assistants (in case of missing the practical exercises) instead of being directed to cosulting with our fellow students who visited that exercise.	
	Lecture should be made more interesting maybe by making it more & more interactive and checking whether students have really understood the topic may be by asking questionaires.	
The lecturer was always very exciting in holding the course and he was giving proper answer to our questions. The topics were presented with a lot of enthusiasm	-	-
PRACTICAL ASSIGNMENTS.	A brief intruduction of Mathematical models used . A correct approach towards proceeding towards Practical Assign, in a small introduction, would be great.	

Everyone seemed to be very competent and helpful, Good introduction to help refresh knowledge, It was always clear why the knowledge is needed in real life, The PAs helped improve the knowledge!	too much for a 6CP lecture	
Quality of the presentation and the slides		
Easy and interesting way of doing exercises: -> Attendance not mandatory -> no miss-system or minimum points to achieve	-> Practical assignments are close to each other (concerning content(topics)) -> not that interesting; -> giving an introduction into us-2 will help inexperienced students	
Practical exposure and better understanding.		Course is little bit hectic
The practical simulations alone w part of practical exercises		Prof. martini is very competent & knowledgeable, however, sometimes going on such on makes one a little sleepy
Good introductory lectures, Practical assignments	Hard to say, everything seems fine by me	
	More practice. If there would be an opportunity to visit. some big companies and see the structure.	
Good Balance between theoretical and practical content. Somethihg we can apply on an everyday basis. A lot of clarifying examples. Optional exercise groups. Excursions into current developments in the field.	Instead of revising basic networking knowledge for half the semester hand finishing it of with 4 weeks of simulation and statistics, it would have been nicer to learn something true to the name of the lecture "HIGH PERFORMANCE Networking". We are MSc students, and it has to be assumed we already learned all this.	
Lectures sessions taught by Pr. Martini	It would be nice if lecturez was permanent	
Practical assignments		